Convergence of 2D-Schrödinger operators with local scaled short-range interactions to a Hamiltonian with infinitely many δ -point interactions

Jussi Behrndt^{1,*}, Markus Holzmann¹, and Vladimir Lotoreichik¹

¹ Institute of Computational Mathematics, Graz University of Technology, 8010, Graz, Austria

We prove, that a Hamiltonian with infinitely many δ -point interactions in the plane can be approximated in the norm resolvent sense by a family of Schrödinger operators with regular, local scaled short-range potentials. Similar well known results from the 1D and the 3D case are complemented thereby.

© 2014 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

1 Introduction and main result

Let $\Lambda := \{y_l\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be an at most countable set of points satisfying $\inf\{|y_l - y_k| : y_l, y_k \in \Lambda, y_l \neq y_k\} > 0$, let $\alpha : \Lambda \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}, y_l \mapsto \alpha_l$ (where $\alpha_l = \infty$ means that there is no interaction at y_l) and set $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} := \{y_l \in \Lambda : \alpha_l \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Then, a Schrödinger operator $-\Delta_{\alpha,\Lambda}$ with δ -interactions supported on Λ and coupling α can be defined via the resolvent

$$(-\Delta_{\alpha,\Lambda}-\lambda)^{-1} = (-\Delta-\lambda)^{-1} + \sum_{y_k, y_l \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}} [\Gamma_{\alpha,\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}}(\lambda)]_{kl}^{-1} (\cdot, \overline{G_\lambda(\cdot-y_l)}) G_\lambda(\cdot-y_k), \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R},$$

where $-\Delta$ denotes the free Laplacian, $G_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{i}{4}H_0^{(1)}(\sqrt{\lambda}|x|)$ is the integral kernel of its resolvent with $\text{Im}\sqrt{\lambda} \ge 0$ and a Hankel function $H_0^{(1)}$ of first kind and order zero, and with the operator $\Gamma_{\alpha,\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}}(\lambda)$ acting in $\ell^2(\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}})$, which is defined as

$$\Gamma_{\alpha,\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}}(\lambda) = \left[\left(\alpha_k - \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\gamma - \ln \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2i} \right) \right) \delta_{kl} - \tilde{G}_{\lambda}(y_k - y_l) \right]_{y_k,y_l \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}} \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{G}_{\lambda}(x) = \begin{cases} G_{\lambda}(x), & x \neq 0, \\ 0, & x = 0, \end{cases}$$

and the Euler-Mascheroni constant γ , cf. [1, Chapter III.4].

Our main goal in this note is to prove, that $-\Delta_{\alpha,\Lambda}$ can be approximated in the norm resolvent sense by a sequence of Schrödinger operators of the form

$$H_{\varepsilon,\Lambda} := -\Delta + \sum_{y_l \in \Lambda} \frac{\mu_l \left((\ln \varepsilon)^{-1} \right)}{\varepsilon^2} V_l \left(\frac{\cdot - y_l}{\varepsilon} \right), \qquad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Here, $\{V_l\}$ is a set of potentials such that there exists a compactly supported function $V \in L^{1+\beta}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for some $\beta > 0$ satisfying $|V_l(x)| \leq |V(x)|$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and all l and $\{\mu_l\}$ is a set of uniformly bounded real valued functions defined in a neighbourhood of 0 of the form $\mu_l(x) = \mu_{l,1}x + \mu_{l,2}x^2 + o(x^2)$. One can show, that under these assumptions $H_{\varepsilon,\Lambda}$ defines a self-adjoint operator in the form sense for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small.

Let us write $u_l(x) = |V_l(x)|^{1/2}$ and $v_l(x) = \text{sign}[V_l(x)]|V_l(x)|^{1/2}$ and define the Hilbert-Schmidt operator T_l via its integral kernel $T_l(x, y) = u_l(x) \ln |x - y| v_l(y)$. Now, our main result reads as follows:

Theorem 1.1 Define the coupling α as

$$\alpha_{l} = \begin{cases} \frac{\mu_{l,2}}{4\pi^{2}}(u_{l}, v_{l}) + \frac{1}{2\pi(u_{l}, v_{l})^{2}}(T_{l}u_{l}, v_{l}), & \text{if } (u_{l}, v_{l}) \neq 0 \text{ and } \mu_{l,1} = \frac{2\pi}{(u_{l}, v_{l})} \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, the family of operators $H_{\varepsilon,\Lambda}$ converges to $-\Delta_{\alpha,\Lambda}$ in the norm resolvent sense, i.e. $(H_{\varepsilon,\Lambda} - \lambda)^{-1} \rightarrow (-\Delta_{\alpha,\Lambda} - \lambda)^{-1}$ in the operator norm for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$.

We would like to mention here, that similar approximation results are known in the one- and in the three-dimensional case for finitely and for infinitely many points y_l (see [1] and the references therein), but in two dimensions this is only known for the one center case [1,2].

2 **Proof of Theorem 1.1**

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. Similar as in [3], where the approximation of a Hamiltonian with infinitely many δ -point potentials in \mathbb{R}^3 was investigated, one verifies that the resolvent of $H_{\varepsilon,\Lambda}$ is given by

$$(H_{\varepsilon,\Lambda} - \lambda)^{-1} = (-\Delta - \lambda)^{-1} - A_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)[1 + (1 + D_{\varepsilon}(\lambda))^{-1}B_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)E_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)]^{-1}(1 + D_{\varepsilon}(\lambda))^{-1}B_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)C_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)$$

^{*} Corresponding author: e-mail behrndt@tugraz.at, phone +43 (316) 873 - 8127, fax +43 (316) 873 - 8621

with the bounded linear operators $A_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)$: $\bigoplus_{y_l \in \Lambda} L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) =: \mathscr{H} \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^2), B_{\varepsilon}(\lambda), D_{\varepsilon}(\lambda), E_{\varepsilon}(\lambda) : \mathscr{H} \to \mathscr{H}$ and $C_{\varepsilon}(\lambda): L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \to \mathscr{H}$, which are defined as

$$\begin{split} A_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)[f_{l}]_{l} &= \sum_{y_{l} \in \Lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} G_{\lambda}(\cdot - \varepsilon y - y_{l})v_{l}(y)f_{l}(y)\mathrm{d}y, \ [C_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)f]_{k} = \left[u_{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} G_{\lambda}(\varepsilon \cdot - y + y_{k})f(y)\mathrm{d}y\right]_{k}, \\ [B_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)[f_{l}]_{l}]_{k} &= \left[\mu_{k}\left((\ln \varepsilon)^{-1}\right)f_{k}\right]_{k}, \ [E_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)[f_{l}]_{l}]_{k} = \left[\sum_{y_{k} \neq y_{l} \in \Lambda} u_{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} G_{\lambda}(\varepsilon(\cdot - y) + y_{k} - y_{l})v_{l}(y)f_{l}(y)\mathrm{d}y\right]_{k}, \\ [D_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)[f_{l}]_{l}]_{k} &= \left[\mu_{k}\left((\ln \varepsilon)^{-1}\right)u_{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} G_{\lambda}(\varepsilon(\cdot - y))v_{k}(y)f_{k}(y)\mathrm{d}y\right]_{k}. \end{split}$$

First, we check convergence of $A_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)$ and $C_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)$. The natural candidates for their limits $A(\lambda)$ and $C(\lambda)$ are

$$A(\lambda)[f_l]_l = \sum_{y_l \in \Lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_\lambda(\cdot - y_l) v_l(y) f_l(y) \mathrm{d}y, \quad [C(\lambda)f]_k = \left[u_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_\lambda(y - y_k) f(y) \mathrm{d}y \right]_k.$$

In order to prove, that $C_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)$ converges to $C(\lambda)$ in the operator norm, we compute for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$

$$\begin{split} \|(C_{\varepsilon}(\lambda) - C(\lambda))f\|^{2} &= \sum_{y_{k} \in \Lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \left| u_{k}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \left(G_{\lambda}(y - y_{k}) - G_{\lambda}(\varepsilon x - y + y_{k}) \right) f(y) \mathrm{d}y \right|^{2} \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |V(x)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |G_{\lambda}(y) - G_{\lambda}(y - \varepsilon x)|^{2} e^{\mathrm{Im}\sqrt{\lambda}|y|} \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \sum_{y_{k} \in \Lambda} e^{-\mathrm{Im}\sqrt{\lambda}|y - y_{k}|} |f(y)|^{2} \mathrm{d}y \leq c(\varepsilon) \|f\|^{2}, \end{split}$$

where we used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and then the translation invariance of the Lebesgue measure. Because of $G_{\lambda}(z) \sim \frac{i}{4}\sqrt{2/(\pi z)}e^{i(z-\frac{\pi}{2})}$ for $|z| \to \infty$, it follows from the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |V(x)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |G_{\lambda}(y) - G_{\lambda}(y - \varepsilon x)|^2 e^{\operatorname{Im}\sqrt{\lambda}|y|} \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x \to 0.$$

Moreover, due to our assumptions on Λ , it holds that $\sum e^{-\operatorname{Im}\sqrt{\lambda}|y-y_k|}$ is uniformly bounded in y, as the sum can be estimated by a convergent geometric series. Hence, $c(\varepsilon) \to 0$ and thus $C_{\varepsilon}(\lambda) \to C(\lambda)$. A similar argument shows $A_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)^* \to A(\lambda)^*$ and hence $A_{\varepsilon}(\lambda) \to A(\lambda)$. Next, it follows from the well-known analysis of the one-center case [1,2], that $(1+D_{\varepsilon}(\lambda))^{-1}B_{\varepsilon}(\lambda) \to K(\lambda)$, where the components of the diagonal operator $K(\lambda)$ are

$$K_k(\lambda)f = -4\pi^2 \left\{ 2\pi (u_k, v_k)^2 \left[\ln \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2i} - \gamma \right] + \mu_{k,2} (u_k, v_k)^3 + 2\pi (T_k u_k, v_k) \right\}^{-1} (f, v_k) u_k,$$

if $(u_k, v_k) \neq 0$ and $\mu_{k,1} = \frac{2\pi}{(u_k, v_k)}$, and $K_k(\lambda) = 0$ otherwise. It remains to analyze the convergence of $E_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)$. The natural candidate for the limit is

$$[E(\lambda)[f_l]_l]_k = \left[\sum_{y_k \neq y_l \in \Lambda} u_k G_\lambda(y_l - y_k)(f_l, v_l)\right]_k$$

In order to show $E_{\varepsilon}(\lambda) \to E(\lambda)$, we use the estimate $||T||^2 \leq \sup_l \sum_k ||T_{kl}|| \cdot \sup_k \sum_l ||T_{kl}||$, which holds for any $T = [T_{kl}]$ which is bounded and everywhere defined in \mathscr{H} . Therefore, it is sufficient to prove

$$\sup_{l} \sum_{y_l \neq y_k} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |V_k(x)| \cdot |G_\lambda(\varepsilon(y-x) + y_l - y_k) - G_\lambda(y_l - y_k)|^2 \cdot |V_l(y)| \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/2} \to 0,$$

which can be shown using the far field behavior $G_{\lambda}(z) \sim \frac{i}{4}\sqrt{2/(\pi z)}e^{i(z-\frac{\pi}{2})}$ as $|z| \to \infty$ and the dominated convergence theorem. Finally, a straightforward, but tedious computation yields

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} (H_{\varepsilon,\Lambda} - \lambda)^{-1} = (-\Delta - \lambda)^{-1} - A(\lambda) [1 + K(\lambda)E(\lambda)]^{-1} K(\lambda)C(\lambda) = (-\Delta_{\alpha,\Lambda} - \lambda)^{-1}.$$

Acknowledgements The authors thank S. Albeverio, P. Exner and F. Gesztesy for fruitful discussions and literature hints. Moreover, we gratefully acknowledge financial support by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), project P 25162-N26.

References

- S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Høegh-Krohn, and H. Holden, Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics, 2nd edition with appendix by P. Exner (AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2005).
- [2] S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Høegh-Krohn, and H. Holden, J. Reine Angew. Math. 380, 87 (1987).
- [3] H. Holden, R. Høegh-Krohn, and S. Johannesen, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor. 41, 335 (1984).