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## A B S T R A C T

We study perturbations of the self-adjoint periodic SturmLiouville operator

$$
A_{0}=\frac{1}{r_{0}}\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} p_{0} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}+q_{0}\right)
$$

and conclude under $L^{1}$-assumptions on the differences of the coefficients that the essential spectrum and absolutely continuous spectrum remain the same. If a finite first moment condition holds for the differences of the coefficients, then at most finitely many eigenvalues appear in the spectral gaps. This observation extends a seminal result by RofeBeketov from the 1960s. Finally, imposing a second moment

[^0]condition we show that the band edges are no eigenvalues of the perturbed operator.
© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

## 1. Introduction

Consider a periodic Sturm-Liouville differential expression of the form

$$
\tau_{0}=\frac{1}{r_{0}}\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} p_{0} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}+q_{0}\right)
$$

on $\mathbb{R}$, where $1 / p_{0}, q_{0}, r_{0} \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ are real-valued and $\omega$-periodic functions, and $r_{0}>0$, $p_{0}>0$ a.e. Let $A_{0}$ be the corresponding self-adjoint operator in the weighted $L^{2}$-Hilbert space $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} ; r_{0}\right)$ and recall that the spectrum of $A_{0}$ is semibounded from below, purely absolutely continuous and consists of (finitely or infinitely many) spectral bands; cf. [1], [9] or [15, Section 12].

Now let $1 / p_{1}, q_{1}, r_{1} \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ be real-valued functions with $r_{1}>0, p_{1}>0$ a.e., assume that the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\left|r_{1}(t)-r_{0}(t)\right|+\left|\frac{1}{p_{1}(t)}-\frac{1}{p_{0}(t)}\right|+\left|q_{1}(t)-q_{0}(t)\right|\right)|t|^{k} \mathrm{~d} t<\infty \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $k=0$, and consider the corresponding perturbed Sturm-Liouville differential expression

$$
\tau_{1}=\frac{1}{r_{1}}\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} p_{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}+q_{1}\right)
$$

on $\mathbb{R}$. It turns out that $\tau_{1}$ is in the limit point case at both singular endpoints $\pm \infty$ and hence there is a unique self-adjoint realization $A_{1}$ of $\tau_{1}$ in the weighted $L^{2}$-Hilbert space $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} ; r_{1}\right)$. The first observation in Theorem 1.1 below is that the essential spectra of $A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$ coincide and the interior is purely absolutely continuous spectrum of $A_{1}$. In the special case $r_{0}=r_{1}=p_{0}=p_{1}=1$ this result is known from [13] and for $p_{0} \neq p_{1}$ a related result is contained in [1]; cf. Remark 2.2.

Theorem 1.1. Assume that condition (1.1) holds for $k=0$ and let $A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$ be the self-adjoint realizations of $\tau_{0}$ and $\tau_{1}$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} ; r_{0}\right)$ and $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} ; r_{1}\right)$, respectively. Then we have

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(A_{0}\right)=\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(A_{1}\right),
$$

the spectrum of $A_{1}$ is purely absolutely continuous in the interior of the spectral bands, and $A_{1}$ is semibounded from below.

In particular, the band structure of the spectrum of the periodic operator $A_{0}$ is preserved for the essential spectrum of $A_{1}$ and in the gaps of $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(A_{1}\right)$ discrete eigenvalues may appear that may accumulate to the edges of the spectral bands; for a detailed discussion in the case $r_{0}=r_{1}$ we refer to [1, Section 5.3]. Our second main objective in this note is to verify that under a finite first moment condition on the difference of the coefficients there are at most finitely many discrete eigenvalues in the gaps of the essential spectrum of $A_{1}$. The question whether eigenvalues accumulate at the band edges has a long tradition going back to the seminal results of Rofe-Beketov [10], which were later extended by Schmidt [11] (see also $[1, \S 5.4]$ for the special case $r_{0}=r_{1}=1$ and $p_{0}=p_{1}$ ). They play also an important role for the scattering theory in this setting [2,4,3,7]. The currently best results in this direction can be found in [8], which apply in the special case $r_{0}=r_{1}$.

Theorem 1.2. Assume that condition (1.1) holds for $k=1$ and let $A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$ be the self-adjoint realizations of $\tau_{0}$ and $\tau_{1}$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} ; r_{0}\right)$ and $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} ; r_{1}\right)$, respectively. Then every gap of the spectral bands $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(A_{0}\right)=\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(A_{1}\right)$ contains at most finitely many eigenvalues of $A_{1}$.

In the third result we pay special attention to the edges of the spectral bands. If (1.1) holds for $k=1$ (and hence also for $k=0$ ), then the interior of the spectral bands consists of purely absolutely continuous spectrum of $A_{1}$ and the eigenvalues of $A_{1}$ in the gaps do not accumulate to the band edges. If we further strengthen the assumptions and impose a finite second moment condition $k=2$ in (1.1) (and hence also $k=1$ and $k=0$ ), then it turns out that the band edges are no eigenvalues of $A_{1}$.

Theorem 1.3. Assume that condition (1.1) holds for $k=2$ and let $A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$ be the self-adjoint realizations of $\tau_{0}$ and $\tau_{1}$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} ; r_{0}\right)$ and $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} ; r_{1}\right)$, respectively. Then the edges of the spectral bands $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(A_{0}\right)=\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(A_{1}\right)$ are no eigenvalues of $A_{1}$ and the spectral bands consist of purely absolutely continuous spectrum of $A_{1}$.

In Section 2 we also show that the claim in Theorem 1.3 remains valid if (1.1) holds for $k=1$ and some other additional assumptions for $r_{1}$ and $q_{1}$ are satisfied; cf. Proposition 2.5. Our proofs of Theorems 1.1-1.3 are based on a careful analysis of the solutions of $\left(\tau_{0}-\lambda\right) u=0$ and $\left(\tau_{1}-\lambda\right) u=0$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$; cf. Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7. While the properties of the solutions of the periodic problem in Lemma 2.6 are mainly consequences of well-known properties of the Hill discriminant, the properties of the solutions of the perturbed problem in Lemma 2.7 require some slightly more technical arguments. It is convenient to first verify variants of Theorems $1.1-1.3$ for self-adjoint realizations of $\tau_{0}$ and $\tau_{1}$ on half-lines $(-\infty, a)$ and $(a, \infty)$ with finite endpoint $a$, and use a coupling argument to conclude the corresponding results on $\mathbb{R}$. One of the key ingredients is the connection of the zeros of a modified Wronskian with the finiteness of the spectrum from [5].
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## 2. Perturbations of periodic Sturm-Liouville operators on a half-line

We prove variants of Theorems 1.1-1.3 for self-adjoint realizations $H_{0}$ and $H_{1}$ of $\tau_{0}$ and $\tau_{1}$, respectively, in the $L^{2}$-spaces $L^{2}\left((a, \infty) ; r_{0}\right)$ and $L^{2}\left((a, \infty) ; r_{1}\right)$ with some finite endpoint $a$. For the real-valued coefficients we have $1 / p_{j}, q_{j}, r_{j} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}([a, \infty))$ and $r_{j}>0$, $p_{j}>0$ a.e., and $1 / p_{0}, q_{0}, r_{0}$ are $\omega$-periodic.

The differential expression $\tau_{0}$ is in the limit point case at $\infty$ and regular at $a$. In the following let $H_{0}$ be any self-adjoint realization of $\tau_{0}$ in $L^{2}\left((a, \infty) ; r_{0}\right)$. Similar as in the full line case also on the half-line the essential spectrum of $H_{0}$ is purely absolutely continuous and consists of infinitely many closed intervals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(H_{0}\right)=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\lambda_{2 k-1}, \lambda_{2 k}\right] \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the endpoints $\lambda_{2 k-1}$ and $\lambda_{2 k}, \lambda_{2 k-1}<\lambda_{2 k}$, denote the $k$-th eigenvalues of the regular Sturm-Liouville operator in $L^{2}\left((a, a+\omega) ; r_{0}\right)$ (in nondecreasing order) with periodic and semiperiodic boundary conditions, respectively; cf. [1] or [15, Section 12] for more details. Recall that the closed intervals may adjoin and that also $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{0}\right)=\left[\lambda_{1}, \infty\right)$ may happen in (2.1). Each interval $\left(-\infty, \lambda_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\lambda_{2 k}, \lambda_{2 k+1}\right), k \in \mathbb{N}$, may contain at most one (simple) eigenvalue of $H_{0}$. In particular, $H_{0}$ is semibounded from below and (2.1) implies that the interior of $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{0}\right)$ is non-empty.

Theorem 2.1. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{\infty}\left(\left|r_{1}(t)-r_{0}(t)\right|+\left|\frac{1}{p_{1}(t)}-\frac{1}{p_{0}(t)}\right|+\left|q_{1}(t)-q_{0}(t)\right|\right) \mathrm{d} t<\infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $H_{0}$ and $H_{1}$ be arbitrary self-adjoint realizations of $\tau_{0}$ and $\tau_{1}$ in $L^{2}\left((a, \infty) ; r_{0}\right)$ and $L^{2}\left((a, \infty) ; r_{1}\right)$, respectively. Then we have

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(H_{0}\right)=\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(H_{1}\right),
$$

the spectrum of $H_{1}$ is purely absolutely continuous in the interior of the spectral bands, and $H_{1}$ is semibounded from below.

It follows that $H_{1}$ has non-empty essential spectrum, hence, the differential expression $\tau_{1}$ is in the limit point case at $\infty$.

Remark 2.2. For the special case $r_{0}=r_{1}=p_{0}=p_{1}=1$ the result in Theorem 2.1 goes back to the paper [13] of G. Stolz, where instead of the assumption $q_{1}-q_{0} \in L^{1}(a, \infty)$ in (2.2) the weaker conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{c}^{\infty}\left|\left(q_{1}-q_{0}\right)(t+\omega)-\left(q_{1}-q_{0}\right)(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t<\infty \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c>a$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{x}^{x+1}\left|q_{1}(t)-q_{0}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

are imposed. The considerations from [13] are extended in [1, Chapter 5.2] to the case $r_{0}=r_{1}$ and $p_{0} \neq p_{1}$ with $1 / p_{1}-1 / p_{0}$ satisfying similar conditions (2.3)-(2.4). More precisely, in [1, Corollary 5.2.3] it was shown that the interior of the essential spectrum of $H_{0}$ is purely absolutely continuous spectrum of $H_{1}$ and hence $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{0}\right) \subset \sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{1}\right)$. For the other inclusion in [1, Theorem 5.3.1] it is assumed that $r_{0}=r_{1}, p_{0}=p_{1}$ together with additional limit conditions for $q_{1}-q_{0}$. For details we refer to [1, Chapter 5].

In the next theorem we strengthen the assumptions by imposing a finite first moment condition (see (2.5) below) on the differences of the coefficients; note that (2.5) implies (2.2) since the coefficients (and their differences) are integrable at $a$. In this situation it turns out that there appear at most finitely many simple eigenvalues of $H_{1}$ in each spectral gap and hence there is no accumulation of eigenvalues to the edges of the band gaps. Concerning the history of this result we refer to the discussion before the corresponding result on $\mathbb{R}$, Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 2.3. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{\infty}\left(\left|r_{1}(t)-r_{0}(t)\right|+\left|\frac{1}{p_{1}(t)}-\frac{1}{p_{0}(t)}\right|+\left|q_{1}(t)-q_{0}(t)\right|\right)|t| \mathrm{d} t<\infty \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, and let $H_{1}$ be an arbitrary self-adjoint realization of $\tau_{1}$ in $L^{2}\left((a, \infty) ; r_{1}\right)$. Then every gap of $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{1}\right)$ contains at most finitely many eigenvalues.

In the next result we assume a stronger integrability condition and conclude that the edges of the spectral bands are no embedded eigenvalues of $A_{1}$; note that (2.6) implies (2.5) and (2.2). As pointed out before, this question is important for scattering theory and was first established by Firsova [2,4] in the case $r_{0}=r_{1}=p_{0}=p_{1}=1$.

Theorem 2.4. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{\infty}\left(\left|r_{1}(t)-r_{0}(t)\right|+\left|\frac{1}{p_{1}(t)}-\frac{1}{p_{0}(t)}\right|+\left|q_{1}(t)-q_{0}(t)\right|\right)|t|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t<\infty \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, and let $H_{1}$ be an arbitrary self-adjoint realization of $\tau_{1}$ in $L^{2}\left((a, \infty) ; r_{1}\right)$. Then the edges of the spectral bands are no eigenvalues of $H_{1}$ and the spectral bands consist of purely absolutely continuous spectrum of $H_{1}$.

We find it worthwhile to provide another set of assumptions that also imply absence of eigenvalues at the edges of the spectral bands. Here we only assume the integrability condition (2.5), but for $r_{1}$ and $q_{1}$ additional assumptions are required. It is left to the reader to formulate a variant of Proposition 2.5 for the self-adjoint realization $A_{1}$ of $\tau_{1}$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} ; r_{1}\right)$.

Proposition 2.5. Assume that (2.5) holds and that there exist positive constants $C_{0}, C_{1}$ such that $r_{1}$ and $q_{1}$ satisfy $C_{0} \leq r_{1}(t) \leq C_{1}$ and $\int_{t-1}^{t+1}\left|q_{1}(s)\right|^{2} d s \leq C_{1}$ for $t$ in some neighbourhood of $\infty$. Let $H_{1}$ be an arbitrary self-adjoint realization of $\tau_{1}$ in $L^{2}\left((a, \infty) ; r_{1}\right)$. Then the edges of the spectral bands are no eigenvalues of $H_{1}$ and the spectral bands consist of purely absolutely continuous spectrum of $H_{1}$.

The proofs of Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.3, Theorem 2.4, and Proposition 2.5 are at the end of this section. In what follows, we investigate solutions of the periodic and the perturbed periodic problem. The first lemma is more or less a variant of standard working knowledge in periodic differential operators and is essentially contained in [1, Chapter 1] or [15]. For the convenience of the reader we provide a short proof.

Lemma 2.6. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ there exist linearly independent solutions $u_{0}=u_{0}(\cdot, \lambda)$ and $v_{0}=v_{0}(\cdot, \lambda)$ of $\left(\tau_{0}-\lambda\right) u=0$ and $c=c(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the functions $U_{0}=U_{0}(\cdot, \lambda)$ and $V_{0}=V_{0}(\cdot, \lambda)$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{0}(x)=\exp \left(c \frac{x-a}{\omega}\right) \cdot\binom{u_{0}(x)}{\left(p_{0} u_{0}^{\prime}\right)(x)}, \\
& V_{0}(x)=\exp \left(-c \frac{x-a}{\omega}\right) \cdot\binom{v_{0}(x)}{\left(p_{0} v_{0}^{\prime}\right)(x)} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

on $(a, \infty)$ have the following property:
(i) If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{0}\right)$, then $U_{0}$ and $V_{0}$ are both $\omega$-periodic and bounded on $(a, \infty)$, where $\operatorname{Re} c>0$.
(ii) If $\lambda$ is an interior point of $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{0}\right)$, then $U_{0}$ and $V_{0}$ are both $\omega$-periodic and bounded on $(a, \infty)$, where $\operatorname{Re} c=0$. In particular, $\left|u_{0}\right|$ and $\left|v_{0}\right|$ are $\omega$-periodic and bounded on $(a, \infty)$.
(iii) If $\lambda$ is a boundary point of $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{0}\right)$, then $U_{0}$ is $\omega$-periodic and bounded on $(a, \infty)$, where $\operatorname{Re} c=0$ and, in particular, $\left|u_{0}\right|$ is $\omega$-periodic and bounded on $(a, \infty)$. Furthermore, $V_{0}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|V_{0}(x)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \leq C\left(1+\frac{x-a}{\omega}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $(a, \infty)$ for some positive constant $C$.

In the cases (i) and (iii) the solutions $u_{0}$ and $v_{0}$ can be chosen to be real-valued. Moreover, if $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{0}\right)$, then for every non-trivial solution of $\left(\tau_{0}-\lambda\right) u=0$ there exists a positive constant $E$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a+n \omega}^{a+(n+1) \omega}|u(t)|^{2} r_{0}(t) \mathrm{d} t \geq E \quad \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text {. } \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the two-dimensional complex space of solutions of $\left(\tau_{0}-\lambda\right) u=0$. As the coefficients of $\tau_{0}$ are $\omega$-periodic, for every $f \in \mathcal{L}$ the function $f(\cdot+\omega)$ is again in $\mathcal{L}$. Now we identify the linear map $\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}, f \mapsto f(\cdot+\omega)$ with the matrix

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\hat{u}(a+\omega) & \hat{v}(a+\omega) \\
\left(p_{0} \hat{u}^{\prime}\right)(a+\omega) & \left(p_{0} \hat{v}^{\prime}\right)(a+\omega)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\hat{u}, \hat{v} \in \mathcal{L}$ are chosen such that $\hat{u}(a)=1,\left(p_{0} \hat{u}^{\prime}\right)(a)=0$ and $\hat{v}(a)=0,\left(p_{0} \hat{v}^{\prime}\right)(a)=1$. Since det $M$ coincides with the Wronskian the spectrum is

$$
\sigma(\mathcal{M})=\sigma(M)=\left\{\mathrm{e}^{c}, \mathrm{e}^{-c}\right\}, \quad \text { where } c \in \mathbb{C}
$$

From now on fix the Floquet exponent $c$ such that $\operatorname{Re} c \geq 0$. The eigenvalues $e^{ \pm c}$ solve the quadratic equation $\operatorname{det}(M-z)=z^{2}-D z+1=0$, where the Hill discriminant $D:=D(\lambda)=\hat{u}(a+\omega)+\left(p_{0} \hat{v}^{\prime}\right)(a+\omega)$ is real. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{ \pm c}=\frac{D}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{D^{2}}{4}-1} \quad \text { or } \quad \mathrm{e}^{ \pm c}=\frac{D}{2} \mp \sqrt{\frac{D^{2}}{4}-1} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that by [15, Chapter 12 and Appendix] and [16, Chapter 16]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(H_{0}\right)=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}:|D(\lambda)| \leq 2\} \quad \text { and } \quad \partial \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(H_{0}\right)=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}:|D(\lambda)|=2\} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{0}\right)$ we have $|D|>2$ and hence $\mathrm{e}^{c} \neq \mathrm{e}^{-c}$ are both real by (2.10), which leads to $\operatorname{Re} c>0$. As $\mathcal{M}$ has two distinct eigenvalues, we find corresponding eigenvectors $u_{0}, v_{0} \in \mathcal{L}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
u_{0}(x+\omega)=\left(\mathcal{M} u_{0}\right)(x)=\mathrm{e}^{-c} u_{0}(x), & \left(p_{0} u_{0}^{\prime}\right)(x+\omega)=\mathrm{e}^{-c}\left(p_{0} u_{0}^{\prime}\right)(x), \\
v_{0}(x+\omega)=\left(\mathcal{M} v_{0}\right)(x)=\mathrm{e}^{c} v_{0}(x), & \left(p_{0} v_{0}^{\prime}\right)(x+\omega)=\mathrm{e}^{c}\left(p_{0} v_{0}^{\prime}\right)(x), \tag{2.13}
\end{array}
$$

on $(a, \infty)$, where the equalities in (2.12) and (2.13) for the derivatives follow from the periodicity of $p_{0}$. From (2.12) and (2.13) one also sees that the functions $U_{0}$ and $V_{0}$ defined in (2.7) are both $\omega$-periodic, and hence also bounded. This completes the proof of (i).
(ii) For an interior point $\lambda$ of $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{0}\right)$ we have $|D|<2$ by (2.11), and hence $\mathrm{e}^{c}$ and $\mathrm{e}^{-c}$ are non-real and complex conjugates of each other, which yields $\operatorname{Re} c=0$. As in the proof of (i) $\mathcal{M}$ has a pair of distinct eigenvalues and we find corresponding eigenvectors $u_{0}, v_{0} \in \mathcal{L}$ satisfying (2.12), (2.13), which shows the periodicity of the $U_{0}$ and $V_{0}$ given in (2.7) and finishes the proof of (ii).
(iii) For $\lambda \in \partial \sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{0}\right)$ we have $|D|=2$ and hence $\mathrm{e}^{c}=\mathrm{e}^{-c}=D / 2 \in\{-1,1\}$ by (2.10), and therefore $\operatorname{Re} c=0$. Again, we find $u_{0} \in \mathcal{L}$ such that (2.12) holds and this shows the periodicity of the function $U_{0}$ defined in (2.7). If the geometric multiplicity of $\mathrm{e}^{c}=\mathrm{e}^{-c}$ is two, then there is a second linearly independent solution $v_{0} \in \mathcal{L}$ which satisfies (2.13). In this case the function $V_{0}$ in (2.7) is $\omega$-periodic and the estimate (2.8) holds for $C=\sup _{x \in[a, a+\omega]}\left\|V_{0}(x)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}$. Otherwise, if the geometric multiplicity of $\mathrm{e}^{c}=\mathrm{e}^{-c}$ is one, then there is a Jordan chain of length two, that is, there exists $v_{0} \in \mathcal{L}$ with $\mathcal{M} v_{0}=\mathrm{e}^{c} v_{0}+u_{0}$. One has

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{0}(x+\omega)=\mathrm{e}^{c} v_{0}(x)+u_{0}(x), \quad\left(p_{0} v_{0}^{\prime}\right)(x+\omega)=\mathrm{e}^{c}\left(p_{0} v_{0}^{\prime}\right)(x)+\left(p_{0} u_{0}^{\prime}\right)(x) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in(a, \infty)$. Now consider

$$
V_{0}(x):=\exp \left(-c \frac{x-a}{\omega}\right) \cdot\binom{v_{0}(x)}{\left(p_{0} v_{0}^{\prime}\right)(x)},
$$

as in (2.7) and recall that $\operatorname{Re} c=0$. With (2.14) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|V_{0}(x+\omega)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}=\left\|\binom{\mathrm{e}^{c} v_{0}(x)+u_{0}(x)}{\mathrm{e}^{c}\left(p_{0} v_{0}^{\prime}\right)(x)+\left(p_{0} u_{0}^{\prime}\right)(x)}\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \leq\left\|V_{0}(x)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}+\left\|U_{0}(x)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x \in(a, \infty)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq(x-a) / \omega<k+1$. Then (2.15) and the periodicity of $U_{0}$ give successively

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|V_{0}(x)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} & \leq\left\|V_{0}(x-k \omega)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}+k\left\|U_{0}(x-k \omega)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \\
& \leq\left\|V_{0}(x-k \omega)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}+\frac{x-a}{\omega}\left\|U_{0}(x-k \omega)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \\
& \leq \sup _{t \in[a, a+\omega]}\left(\left\|V_{0}(t)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}+\left\|U_{0}(t)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}\right) \cdot\left(1+\frac{x-a}{\omega}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows (iii).

Since in the cases (i) and (iii) the spectrum of $\mathcal{M}$ is real, $\mathcal{M}$ can be regarded as a mapping in the real space of real-valued solutions of $\left(\tau_{0}-\lambda\right) u=0$ instead of the complex space $\mathcal{L}$. Hence, $u_{0}$ and $v_{0}$ can be chosen as real-valued solutions. Finally, to show (2.9), consider $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{0}\right)$ and let $u_{0}, v_{0}$ be as in (ii) or (iii). Choose $d_{1} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $w_{0}:=d_{1} u_{0}+v_{0}$ is orthogonal to $u_{0}$ in $L^{2}\left((a, a+\omega) ; r_{0}\right)$. We have $\mathcal{M} u_{0}=\mathrm{e}^{-c} u_{0}$ and $\mathcal{M} v_{0}=\mathrm{e}^{c} v_{0}+d_{0} u_{0}$, where $d_{0} \in\{0,1\}$. Thus,

$$
\mathcal{M} w_{0}=\left(\mathrm{e}^{-c} d_{1}+d_{0}\right) u_{0}+\mathrm{e}^{c} v_{0}=\left(\mathrm{e}^{-c} d_{1}+d_{0}-\mathrm{e}^{c} d_{1}\right) u_{0}+\mathrm{e}^{c} w_{0}
$$

and successively for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\mathcal{M}^{n} w_{0}=\gamma_{n} u_{0}+\mathrm{e}^{c n} w_{0}, \quad \text { where } \gamma_{n} \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

We consider a non-trivial linear combination $\alpha u_{0}+\beta w_{0}$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that by (2.12) $u_{0}(t+n \omega)=\left(\mathcal{M}^{n} u_{0}\right)(t)=\mathrm{e}^{-n c} u_{0}(t)$ for $t \in[a, \infty)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall also that $\operatorname{Re} c=0$. If $\beta=0$, then

$$
\int_{a+n \omega}^{a+(n+1) \omega}\left|\alpha u_{0}(t)\right|^{2} r_{0}(t) \mathrm{d} t=\int_{a}^{a+\omega}\left|\alpha u_{0}(t)\right|^{2} r_{0}(t) \mathrm{d} t>0
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Otherwise, if $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a+n \omega}^{a+(n+1) \omega}\left|\alpha u_{0}(t)+\beta w_{0}(t)\right|^{2} r_{0}(t) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \quad=\int_{a}^{a+\omega}\left|\alpha\left(\mathcal{M}^{n} u_{0}\right)(t)+\beta\left(\mathcal{M}^{n} w_{0}\right)(t)\right|^{2} r_{0}(t) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \quad=\int_{a}^{a+\omega}\left|\left(\alpha \mathrm{e}^{-c n}+\beta \gamma_{n}\right) u_{0}(t)+\beta \mathrm{e}^{c n} w_{0}(t)\right|^{2} r_{0}(t) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \quad \geq \int_{a}^{a+\omega}\left|\beta w_{0}(t)\right|^{2} r_{0}(t) \mathrm{d} t>0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In both cases we conclude (2.9) and Lemma 2.6 is shown.
The solution's asymptotics are basically preserved under $L^{1}$-perturbations of $\tau_{0}$ with respect to its coefficients. This is the content of the next lemma.

Lemma 2.7. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, assume that condition (2.2) holds and let $u_{0}, v_{0}$ and $c$ be as in Lemma 2.6. Then there exist linearly independent solutions $u_{1}=u_{1}(\cdot, \lambda)$ and $v_{1}=$ $v_{1}(\cdot, \lambda)$ of $\left(\tau_{1}-\lambda\right) u=0$ such that the following holds:
(i) If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{0}\right)$, that is, Rec>0, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(\operatorname{Re} c \frac{x-a}{\omega}\right) \cdot\left\|\binom{u_{1}(x)}{\left(p_{1} u_{1}^{\prime}\right)(x)}-\binom{u_{0}(x)}{\left(p_{0} u_{0}^{\prime}\right)(x)}\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\binom{u_{1}(x)}{\left(p_{1} u_{1}^{\prime}\right)(x)}\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \leq C \exp \left(-\operatorname{Re} c \frac{x-a}{\omega}\right) \\
& \left\|\binom{v_{1}(x)}{\left(p_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}\right)(x)}\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \leq C \exp \left(\operatorname{Re} c \frac{x-a}{\omega}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

on $(a, \infty)$, where $C=C(\lambda)$ is a positive constant. In particular, $u_{1}$ is bounded on $(a, \infty)$.
(ii) If $\lambda$ is an interior point of $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{0}\right)$, that is, $\operatorname{Re} c=0$, then (2.16) and (2.17) hold on $(a, \infty)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\binom{v_{1}(x)}{\left(p_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}\right)(x)}-\binom{v_{0}(x)}{\left(p_{0} v_{0}^{\prime}\right)(x)}\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $u_{1}$ and $v_{1}$ are bounded on $(a, \infty)$.
(iii) If $\lambda$ is a boundary point of $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{0}\right)$, that is, $\operatorname{Re} c=0$, and (2.5) (and hence also (2.2)) holds, then $u_{1}$ satisfies (2.16) and the first inequality in (2.17) on $(a, \infty)$. In particular, $u_{1}$ is bounded on $(a, \infty)$. If (2.6) (and hence also (2.2) and (2.5)) holds, then $v_{1}$ satisfies (2.18).

The solutions in (i) and (iii) can be chosen to be real-valued.

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. We consider the systems $\phi^{\prime}=A \phi$ and $\xi^{\prime}=(A+B) \xi$ corresponding to $\left(\tau_{0}-\lambda\right) u=0$ and $\left(\tau_{1}-\lambda\right) u=0$, respectively, where

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \frac{1}{p_{0}} \\
q_{0}-\lambda r_{0} & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{0}} \\
\left(q_{1}-q_{0}\right)-\lambda\left(r_{1}-r_{0}\right) & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

From (2.2) we obtain $\|B(\cdot)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}} \in L^{1}(a, \infty)$. With $u_{0}$ and $v_{0}$ from Lemma 2.6 we consider the fundamental solution $\Phi$ of the system $\phi^{\prime}=A \phi$ given by

$$
\Phi(x)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{0}(x) & v_{0}(x)  \tag{2.19}\\
\left(p_{0} u_{0}^{\prime}\right)(x) & \left(p_{0} v_{0}^{\prime}\right)(x)
\end{array}\right), \quad x \in(a, \infty)
$$

so that

$$
(\Phi(t))^{-1}=\frac{1}{W\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(p_{0} v_{0}^{\prime}\right)(t) & -v_{0}(t) \\
-\left(p_{0} u_{0}^{\prime}\right)(t) & u_{0}(t)
\end{array}\right), \quad t \in(a, \infty)
$$

where $W$ is the Wronskian. With (2.7) in Lemma 2.6 we estimate for all $x, t \in[a, \infty)$

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|\Phi(x)(\Phi(t))^{-1}\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}} \leq \tilde{E} \mathrm{e}^{\operatorname{Re} \frac{t-x}{\omega}}\left\|U_{0}(x)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}\left\|V_{0}(t)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \\
&+\tilde{E} \mathrm{e}^{\operatorname{Re} c \frac{x-t}{\omega}}\left\|U_{0}(t)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}\left\|V_{0}(x)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{E}$ is a suitable positive constant.
We show (i) and (ii). In this case, $\operatorname{Re} c \geq 0$ and $U_{0}, V_{0}$ are bounded. We consider the Banach space $\mathcal{B}$ of all continuous $\mathbb{C}^{2}$-valued functions with exponential decay of order $-\operatorname{Re} c / \omega$, that is,

$$
\mathcal{B}:=\left\{\xi:[a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2} \text { continuous }:\|\xi(x)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \leq \gamma \mathrm{e}^{-\operatorname{Rec} \frac{x}{\omega}} \text { for some } \gamma \geq 0 \text { on }[a, \infty)\right\}
$$

and the corresponding norm

$$
\|\xi\|_{\mathcal{B}}:=\sup _{x \in[a, \infty)} \mathrm{e}^{\operatorname{Rec} \frac{x-a}{\omega}}\|\xi(x)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}<\infty .
$$

For $\xi \in \mathcal{B}$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T \xi)(x):=-\Phi(x) \int_{x}^{\infty}(\Phi(t))^{-1} B(t) \xi(t) \mathrm{d} t, \quad x \in[a, \infty) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral in (2.21) converges. Indeed, the estimate in (2.20) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Phi(x)(\Phi(t))^{-1}\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}} \leq E \mathrm{e}^{\operatorname{Re} c \frac{t-x}{\omega}} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a \leq x \leq t<\infty$, where $E$ is a suitable positive constant. Then (2.21) with (2.22) give

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{e}^{\operatorname{Rec} \frac{x-a}{\omega}}\|(T \xi)(x)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} & \leq \mathrm{e}^{\operatorname{Re} c \frac{x-a}{\omega}} \int_{x}^{\infty} E \mathrm{e}^{\operatorname{Re} c \frac{t-x}{\omega}}\|B(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}}\|\xi(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \leq\|\xi\|_{\mathcal{B}} E \int_{x}^{\infty}\|B(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}} \mathrm{~d} t<\infty \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

and hence the integral in (2.21) exists. Moreover, we also conclude that $T \xi \in \mathcal{B}$ and $T$ is a bounded everywhere defined operator in $\mathcal{B}$.

We claim that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(T^{n} \xi\right)(x)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \leq \mathrm{e}^{-\operatorname{Re} c \frac{x-a}{\omega}}\|\xi\|_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{n!}\left(E \int_{x}^{\infty}\|B(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{n}, \quad x \in[a, \infty) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. In fact, for $n=1$ this is true by (2.23). Now assume that (2.24) holds for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We set $G(t):=\frac{1}{n+1}\left(E \int_{t}^{\infty}\|B(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}} \mathrm{~d} s\right)^{n+1}$ and compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(T^{n+1} \xi\right)(x)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} & \leq \int_{x}^{\infty}\left\|\Phi(x)(\Phi(t))^{-1}\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}}\|B(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}}\left\|\left(T^{n} \xi\right)(t)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \leq \mathrm{e}^{-\operatorname{Re} c \frac{x-a}{\omega}} \int_{x}^{\infty} E\|B(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}}\|\xi\|_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{n!}\left(E \int_{t}^{\infty}\|B(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}} \mathrm{~d} s\right)^{n} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{-\operatorname{Re} c \frac{x-a}{\omega}}\|\xi\|_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{x}^{\infty}-G^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{-\operatorname{Re} c \frac{x-a}{\omega}}\|\xi\|_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{(n+1)!}\left(E \int_{x}^{\infty}\|B(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows (2.24) for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence,

$$
\left\|T^{n} \xi\right\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq\|\xi\|_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{n!}\left(E \int_{a}^{\infty}\|B(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{n}
$$

and the Neumann series $(I-T)^{-1}=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T^{n}$ converges in the operator norm induced by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$. Observe that for a solution $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ of $\phi^{\prime}=A \phi$ the function $\xi:=(I-T)^{-1} \phi \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfies $\xi^{\prime}=(A+B) \xi$ since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=T \xi+\phi \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{\prime}=\Phi^{\prime} \Phi^{-1} T \xi+B \xi+\phi^{\prime}=A(T \xi+\phi)+B \xi=(A+B) \xi \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, from (2.25) and (2.23) we also conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{\operatorname{Re} \frac{x-a}{\omega}}\|\phi(x)-\xi(x)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us consider the continuous function $\left(u_{0}, p_{0} u_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{\top}:[a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}$. According to Lemma 2.6 (i)-(ii) we have $\left(u_{0}, p_{0} u_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{\top} \in \mathcal{B}$. From the above considerations we see that $(I-T)^{-1}\left(u_{0}, p_{0} u_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{\top}$ is a solution of $\xi^{\prime}=(A+B) \xi$ and hence

$$
\binom{u_{1}}{p_{1} u_{1}^{\prime}}:=(I-T)^{-1}\binom{u_{0}}{p_{0} u_{0}^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{B}
$$

gives a solution $u_{1}$ of $\left(\tau_{1}-\lambda\right) u=0$ such that the assertions in (i) and (ii) hold for $u_{1}$; note that (2.27) implies (2.16) and $\left(u_{1}, p_{1} u_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\top} \in \mathcal{B}$ shows the first inequality in (2.17). Observe, that if $\lambda$ is an interior point of $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{0}\right)$ then also $\left(v_{0}, p_{0} v_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{\top} \in \mathcal{B}$ by Lemma 2.6 (ii) as $\operatorname{Re} c=0$. Again it follows that

$$
\binom{v_{1}}{p_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}}:=(I-T)^{-1}\binom{v_{0}}{p_{0} v_{0}^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{B}
$$

gives a solution $v_{1}$ of $\left(\tau_{1}-\lambda\right) u=0$ and (2.18) follows from (2.27). Thus we have shown (ii) and it remains to check in (i) the second inequality in (2.17). In fact, for any solution $v_{1}$ of $\left(\tau_{1}-\lambda\right) u=0$ and $\xi=\left(v_{1}, p_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\top}$ one has

$$
\xi(x)=\Phi(x)\left((\Phi(a))^{-1} \xi(a)+\int_{a}^{x}(\Phi(t))^{-1} B(t) \xi(t) \mathrm{d} t\right)
$$

From (2.20) we obtain $\left\|\Phi(x)(\Phi(t))^{-1}\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}} \leq E \mathrm{e}^{\operatorname{Re} c \frac{x-t}{\omega}}$ for $a \leq t \leq x<\infty$ (cf. (2.22)) with some $E>0$. Hence,

$$
\mathrm{e}^{-\operatorname{Rec} \frac{x-a}{\omega}}\|\xi(x)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \leq E\|\xi(a)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}+E \int_{a}^{x}\|B(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\operatorname{Rec} \frac{t-a}{\omega}}\|\xi(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} t
$$

for all $x \in[a, \infty)$. Now Gronwall's inequality yields

$$
\|\xi(x)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \leq \mathrm{e}^{\operatorname{Re} c \frac{x-a}{\omega}} E\|\xi(a)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{E \int_{a}^{x}\|B(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2} \times 2} \mathrm{~d} t}
$$

and hence the second inequality in (2.17) holds for any solution $v_{1}$ of $\left(\tau_{1}-\lambda\right) u=0$. This completes the proof of (i) and (ii).

We prove (iii). In the case $\lambda \in \partial \sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{0}\right)$ Lemma 2.6 (iii) implies $\operatorname{Re} c=0$ and the Banach space $\mathcal{B}$ from above is the usual space of bounded continuous functions. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ and let $T$ be as in (2.21). From Lemma 2.6 (iii) and (2.20) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Phi(x)(\Phi(t))^{-1}\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}} \leq E\left(1+\frac{t-a}{\omega}\right) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a \leq x \leq t<\infty$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|(T \phi)(x)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \leq\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}} E \int_{x}^{\infty}\left(1+\frac{t-a}{\omega}\right)\|B(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integral converges since $(1+|\cdot|)\|B(\cdot)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}} \in L^{1}(a, \infty)$ by assumption (2.5). In the same way as in the proof of items (i) and (ii) one verifies with $G(t)$ replaced by $H(t)=\frac{1}{n+1}\left(E \int_{t}^{\infty}\left(1+\frac{s-a}{\omega}\right)\|B(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}} \mathrm{~d} s\right)^{n+1}$ that

$$
\left\|\left(T^{n} \phi\right)(x)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \leq\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{n!}\left(E \int_{x}^{\infty}\left(1+\frac{t-a}{\omega}\right)\|B(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2} \times 2} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{n}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\left(T^{n} \phi\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{n!}\left(E \int_{a}^{\infty}\left(1+\frac{t-a}{\omega}\right)\|B(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{n}
$$

hold for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in[a, \infty)$. As above it follows that $(I-T)^{-1}$ is an everywhere defined bounded operator in $\mathcal{B}$ and for a solution $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ of $\phi^{\prime}=A \phi$ the function $\xi=(I-T)^{-1} \phi \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfies (2.25) and (2.26). Hence it follows from (2.29) that (2.27) holds with $\operatorname{Re} c=0$. Now consider $\left(u_{0}, p_{0} u_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{\top}$, which is in $\mathcal{B}$ by Lemma 2.6 (iii), and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{u_{1}}{p_{1} u_{1}^{\prime}}:=(I-T)^{-1}\binom{u_{0}}{p_{0} u_{0}^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{B} . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $u_{1}$ is a solution of $\left(\tau_{1}-\lambda\right) u=0$ and the assertions for $u_{1}$ in (iii) follow.
Now assume that the integrability condition (2.6) (and hence also (2.2) and (2.5)) holds. Then $\left(1+|\cdot|^{2}\right)\|B(\cdot)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}} \in L^{1}(a, \infty)$ and for continuous functions $\xi:[a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\xi}:=\sup _{x \in[a, \infty)}\left(1+\frac{x-a}{\omega}\right)^{-1}\|\xi(x)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}<\infty \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can consider the integral (2.21), where we shall use the notation $\widetilde{T}$ to distinguish from the operator $T$ acting in the Banach space $\mathcal{B}$. In fact, by (2.28) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|(\widetilde{T} \xi)(x)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \leq E C_{\xi} \int_{x}^{\infty}\left(1+\frac{t-a}{\omega}\right)^{2}\|B(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in(a, \infty)$ and hence $\widetilde{T} \xi \in \mathcal{B}$. Now let $\phi=\left(v_{0}, p_{0} v_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{\top}$ and observe that by Lemma 2.6 (iii) $\phi$ satisfies an estimate of the form (2.31). The function $\xi:=$ $(I-T)^{-1} \widetilde{T} \phi+\phi$ also satisfies (2.31) and $\xi-\phi=(I-T)^{-1} \widetilde{T} \phi \in \mathcal{B}$. Hence,

$$
\widetilde{T} \phi=(I-T)(\xi-\phi)=(\xi-\phi)-\widetilde{T}(\xi-\phi)=\xi-\phi-\widetilde{T} \xi+\widetilde{T} \phi,
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\phi+\widetilde{T} \xi \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in (2.26) we see that $\xi$ solves $\xi^{\prime}=(A+B) \xi$ and hence $\xi=\left(v_{1}, p_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\top}$ with some solution $v_{1}$ of $\left(\tau_{1}-\lambda\right) u=0$. From (2.32) and (2.33) we obtain $\|\phi(x)-\xi(x)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \rightarrow 0$ as
$x \rightarrow \infty$, which shows (2.18). To see that $v_{1}$ and $u_{1}$ in the present situation are linearly independent assume the contrary. Then also $\left(v_{1}, p_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\top}$ and $\left(u_{1}, p_{1} u_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\top}$ are multiples of each other and hence $\left(v_{1}, p_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\top} \in \mathcal{B}$. But then also

$$
(I-\widetilde{T})\left(v_{1}, p_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\top}=(I-T)\left(v_{1}, p_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\top}=\left(v_{0}, p_{0} v_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{\top}
$$

and $(I-T)\left(u_{1}, p_{1} u_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\top}=\left(u_{0}, p_{0} u_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{\top}$ (see (2.30)) are multiples of each other; a contradiction.

Note that in the cases (i) and (iii) the solutions $u_{0}$ and $v_{0}$ from Lemma 2.6 can be chosen to be real-valued. Then $\Phi$ in (2.19) has values in $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ and the solution $u_{1}$ and $v_{1}$ in (i) and (iii) constructed via $T$ in (2.21) are also real-valued.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ let $c=c(\lambda)$ and $u_{j}=u_{j}(\cdot, \lambda), v_{j}(\cdot, \lambda), j=0,1$, be as in Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7. The proof is divided into four steps.

Step 1. Let $\lambda$ be an arbitrary element of the non-empty interior of $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{0}\right)$, that is, $\operatorname{Re} c=0$ by Lemma 2.6 (ii). We show that for every nonzero solution $w_{1}$ of $\left(\tau_{1}-\lambda\right) u=0$ there exist positive constants $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1} \leq \int_{a+n \omega}^{a+(n+1) \omega}\left|w_{1}(t)\right|^{2} r_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t \leq E_{2} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix an arbitrary nontrivial linear combination $w_{1}=\alpha u_{1}+\beta v_{1}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. For the same constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$ let $w_{0}=\alpha u_{0}+\beta v_{0}$. From Lemma 2.6 (ii) and the periodicity of $U_{0}$ and $V_{0}$ we obtain for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in[a, \infty)$

$$
u_{0}(t+n \omega)=\mathrm{e}^{-n c} u_{0}(t) \quad \text { and } \quad v_{0}(t+n \omega)=\mathrm{e}^{n c} v_{0}(t)
$$

This, $\left|\mathrm{e}^{-n c}\right|=\left|\mathrm{e}^{n c}\right|=1$, and the periodicity of $r_{0}$ imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a+n \omega}^{a+(n+1) \omega}\left|w_{0}(t)\right|^{2} r_{0}(t) \mathrm{d} t & \leq 2 \int_{a+n \omega}^{a+(n+1) \omega}\left(\left|\alpha u_{0}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|\beta v_{0}(t)\right|^{2}\right) r_{0}(t) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =2 \int_{a}^{a+\omega}\left(\left|\alpha \mathrm{e}^{-n c} u_{0}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|\beta \mathrm{e}^{n c} v_{0}(t)\right|^{2}\right) r_{0}(t) \mathrm{d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence together with (2.9) we conclude

$$
E \leq \int_{a+n \omega}^{a+(n+1) \omega}\left|w_{0}(t)\right|^{2} r_{0}(t) \mathrm{d} t \leq E^{\prime}
$$

for some $E, E^{\prime}>0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore,
holds pointwise a.e. on $(a, \infty)$. By Lemma 2.6 (ii) and Lemma 2.7 (ii) the solutions $w_{0}$, $w_{1}$ are bounded and

$$
\left|w_{1}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right| \leq|\alpha| \cdot\left|u_{1}(x)-u_{0}(x)\right|+|\beta| \cdot\left|v_{1}(x)-v_{0}(x)\right| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty
$$

by (2.16) and (2.18). Thus, (2.35) together with $r_{1}-r_{0} \in L^{1}(a, \infty)$ and the periodicity of $r_{0}$ imply the existence of $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\left.\left|\int_{a+n \omega}^{a+(n+1) \omega}\right| w_{1}(t)\right|^{2} r_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t-\int_{a+n \omega}^{a+(n+1) \omega}\left|w_{0}(t)\right|^{2} r_{0}(t) \mathrm{d} t \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{E}{2}\right.
$$

for all $n \geq n_{0}$. Choosing $E_{1}=\frac{E}{2}$ and $E_{2}=E^{\prime}+\frac{E}{2}$ shows (2.34) for all $n \geq n_{0}$.
As an immediate consequence, $\tau_{1}$ is in the limit-point case at $\infty$ and no non-trivial solution of $\left(\tau_{1}-\lambda\right) u=0$ is in $L^{2}\left((a, \infty) ; r_{1}\right)$, and thus $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{1}\right)$; cf. [15, Theorem 11.5]. Since the essential spectra are closed sets we obtain

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(H_{0}\right) \subset \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(H_{1}\right)
$$

Step 2. Let $\lambda$ be an arbitrary element of the non-empty interior of $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{0}\right)$. We prove now the statement on the absolute continuous spectrum of $H_{1}$. A non-trivial solution $u$ of $\left(\tau_{1}-\lambda\right) u=0$ for real $\lambda$ is called sequentially subordinant at $\infty$ with respect to another non-trivial solution $v$ of $\left(\tau_{1}-\lambda\right) u=0$ if

$$
\liminf _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{a}^{x}|u(t)|^{2} r_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t}{\int_{a}^{x}|v(t)|^{2} r_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t}=0
$$

see [14, Section 9.5] and also [12]. By (2.34) in the first step of proof above we see that for all interior points $\lambda$ of $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{1}\right)$ no sequentially subordinate solution of $\left(\tau_{1}-\lambda\right) u=0$ exists. Standard subordinancy theory (cf. Theorem 9.27 together with the remark below in [14]) implies that the absolutely continuous spectrum of $H_{1}$ equals $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{1}\right)$ and the interior of $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{1}\right)$ is purely absolutely continuous.

Step 3. We proceed to prove the converse inclusion $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{1}\right) \subset \sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{0}\right)$. Suppose $\lambda \notin$ $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{0}\right)$, that is, $\operatorname{Re} c>0$ by Lemma 2.6 (i). By Lemma 2.7 (i) there exist real-valued solutions $u_{1}$ and $v_{1}$. For $g \in L^{2}\left((a, \infty) ; r_{1}\right)$ set

$$
(S g)(x):=\frac{1}{W\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)} \int_{a}^{\infty} G(x, t) g(t) r_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t, \quad G(x, t):= \begin{cases}u_{1}(x) v_{1}(t) & \text { if } a \leq t \leq x \\ u_{1}(t) v_{1}(x) & \text { if } a \leq x \leq t\end{cases}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(S g)(x)=\frac{1}{W\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)}\left(u_{1}(x) \int_{a}^{x} v_{1}(t) g(t) r_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t+v_{1}(x) \int_{x}^{\infty} u_{1}(t) g(t) r_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t\right) \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W$ stands again for the Wronskian. Define

$$
E:=\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{a+n \omega}^{a+(n+1) \omega} r_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

which is finite since $r_{0}-r_{1} \in L^{1}(a, \infty)$ and $r_{0}$ is periodic and locally integrable. Consider an arbitrary $x \in[a, \infty)$. By (2.17) in Lemma 2.7 (i)

$$
\int_{a}^{\infty}|G(x, t)| r_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t \leq C^{2}\left(\int_{a}^{x} \mathrm{e}^{\operatorname{Re} \frac{t-x}{\omega}} r_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{x}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\operatorname{Re} c \frac{x-t}{\omega}} r_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t\right)
$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \omega+a \leq x<(k+1) \omega+a$. We continue estimating

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{\infty}|G(x, t)| r_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t \leq & C^{2} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \mathrm{e}^{\operatorname{Re} c \cdot(1-n)} \int_{a+(k-n) \omega}^{a+(k+1-n) \omega} r_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t \\
& +C^{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\operatorname{Re} c \cdot(1-n)} \int_{a+(n+k) \omega}^{a+(n+1+k) \omega} r_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t \\
\leq & 2 C^{2} E \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\operatorname{Re} \cdot \cdot(-n+1)}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to the symmetry $G(x, t)=G(t, x)$ the same bound holds for $\int_{a}^{\infty}|G(x, t)| r_{1}(x) \mathrm{d} x$ evaluated at $t \in[a, \infty)$. As a consequence of the Schur criterion (see, e.g., [14, Lemma 0.32]) one obtains that $S$ is a bounded operator in $L^{2}\left((a, \infty) ; r_{1}\right)$. For $g \in$ $L^{2}\left((a, \infty) ; r_{1}\right)$ a straightforward calculation using (2.36) and $\left(\tau_{1}-\lambda\right) u_{1}=\left(\tau_{1}-\lambda\right) v_{1}=0$ shows that $S g, p_{1}(S g)^{\prime}$ are absolutely continuous on $(a, \infty)$, and that $S g$ solves the inhomogeneous differential equation $\left(\tau_{1}-\lambda\right) u=g$. Thus, $\tau_{1}(S g)=\lambda S g+g \in L^{2}\left((a, \infty) ; r_{1}\right)$ and hence $S g$ is in the domain of the maximal operator associated to $\tau_{1}$ in $L^{2}\left((a, \infty) ; r_{1}\right)$ and $S$ is injective. Moreover, since $u_{1}$ and $v_{1}$ are real-valued it follows that $S$ is selfadjoint, so that $S^{-1}$ is a self-adjoint restriction of the maximal operator associated with $\tau_{1}-\lambda$. In other words, $S$ is the resolvent at $\lambda$ of some self-adjoint realization of $\tau_{1}$ and as all self-adjoint realizations of $\tau_{1}$ have the same essential spectrum, we obtain

$$
\lambda \notin \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(H_{1}\right) .
$$

Thus $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{1}\right) \subset \sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{0}\right)$ and together with the first step

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(H_{1}\right)=\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(H_{0}\right) .
$$

Step 4. Recall that the periodic Sturm-Liouville operator $H_{0}$ is semibounded from below. Let $\lambda<\inf \sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{0}\right)$, that is, $\operatorname{Re} c>0$ by Lemma 2.6 (i). It is no restriction to assume that the solutions $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ provided by Lemma 2.6 (i) and Lemma 2.7 (i) are realvalued. Since $H_{0}$ is semibounded from below the differential expression $\tau_{0}-\lambda$ is nonoscillatory (see [15, Theorem 14.9]), that is, $u_{0}$ has at most finitely many zeros in $(a, \infty)$. Furthermore, Lemma 2.6 (i) implies that the function $\tilde{u}_{0}$ given by

$$
\tilde{u}_{0}(x)=\mathrm{e}^{c \frac{x-a}{\omega}} u_{0}(x)
$$

is $\omega$-periodic. Therefore, the solution $u_{0}$ has no zeros and

$$
\gamma:=\inf _{t \in(a, \infty)}\left|\tilde{u}_{0}(t)\right|=\min _{t \in[a, a+\omega]}\left|\tilde{u}_{0}(t)\right|>0 .
$$

Assume that $H_{1}$ is not semibounded from below. Then [15, Theorem 14.9] implies that the differential expression $\tau_{1}-\lambda$ is oscillatory, and hence the solution $u_{1}$ of $\left(\tau_{1}-\lambda\right) u=0$ has infinitely many zeros $x_{0}<x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots$ accumulating at $\infty$. Together with (2.16) we obtain

$$
0<\gamma \leq\left|\tilde{u}_{0}\left(x_{n}\right)\right|=\left|\mathrm{e}^{c \frac{x_{n}-a}{\omega}} u_{0}\left(x_{n}\right)\right|=\mathrm{e}^{\operatorname{Re} \frac{x_{n}-a}{\omega}}\left|u_{0}\left(x_{n}\right)-u_{1}\left(x_{n}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty ;
$$

a contradiction. This shows the semiboundedness of $H_{1}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Suppose that (2.5) (and hence also (2.2)) holds. We show that every gap of the essential spectrum of $H_{1}$ contains at most finitely many eigenvalues of $H_{1}$. The proof is similar as in Step 4 in the proof of Theorem 2.1, but instead of the zeros of solutions we consider the zeros of modified Wronskians. Let $\mu, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mu<\lambda$ with $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{0}\right) \cap(\mu, \lambda)=\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{1}\right) \cap(\mu, \lambda)=\emptyset$. We have

$$
\lambda, \mu \in \partial \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(H_{0}\right) \cup\left(\mathbb{R} \backslash \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(H_{0}\right)\right)
$$

Let $c(\lambda), c(\mu)$ be the Floquet exponents associated with $\left(\tau_{0}-\lambda\right) u=0$ and $\left(\tau_{0}-\mu\right) u=0$, respectively. For the real-valued solutions $u_{j}(\cdot, \lambda)$ and $u_{j}(\cdot, \mu)$, where $j=0,1$, provided by Lemma 2.6 (i), (iii) and Lemma 2.7 (i), (iii) we consider the modified Wronskians

$$
W_{j}(x):=W\left(u_{j}(\cdot, \mu), u_{j}(\cdot, \lambda)\right)(x)=\binom{u_{j}(x, \lambda)}{p_{j}(x) u_{j}^{\prime}(x, \lambda)}^{\top}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{u_{j}(x, \mu)}{p_{j}(x) u_{j}^{\prime}(x, \mu)}
$$

Observe that

$$
\widetilde{W}_{0}(x):=\exp \left((c(\lambda)+c(\mu)) \frac{x-a}{\omega}\right) W_{0}(x)=\left(U_{0}(x, \lambda)\right)^{\top}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1  \tag{2.37}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) U_{0}(x, \mu),
$$

where $U_{0}(\cdot, \lambda)$ and $U_{0}(\cdot, \mu)$ are $\omega$-periodic functions given by (2.7) in Lemma 2.6. Therefore, the function $\widetilde{W}_{0}$ is $\omega$-periodic. Since there is at most one simple eigenvalue of $H_{0}$ in $(\mu, \lambda)$ we conclude from [5, Theorem 7.5 (i)] that $W_{0}$ has at most finitely many zeros in $(a, \infty)$. According to the periodicity of $\widetilde{W}_{0}$ together with (2.37), the modified Wronskian $W_{0}$ has no zeros and

$$
\gamma:=\inf _{t \in(a, \infty)}\left|\widetilde{W}_{0}(t)\right|=\min _{t \in[a, a+\omega]}\left|\widetilde{W}_{0}(t)\right|>0 .
$$

The difference of $W_{0}$ and $W_{1}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{0}(x)-W_{1}(x) & =\left(\binom{u_{0}(x, \lambda)}{\left(p_{0}(x) u_{0}^{\prime}(x, \lambda)\right.}-\binom{u_{1}(x, \lambda)}{p_{1}(x) u_{1}^{\prime}(x, \lambda)}\right)^{\top}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{u_{0}(x, \mu)}{p_{0}(x) u_{0}^{\prime}(x, \mu)} \\
& +\binom{u_{1}(x, \lambda)}{p_{1}(x) u_{1}^{\prime}(x, \lambda)}^{\top}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\binom{u_{0}(x, \mu)}{p_{0}(x) u_{0}^{\prime}(x, \mu)}-\binom{u_{1}(x, \mu)}{p_{1}(x) u_{1}^{\prime}(x, \mu)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with Lemma 2.6 (i), (iii) and Lemma 2.7 (i), (iii) we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left((c(\lambda)+c(\mu)) \frac{x-a}{\omega}\right) \cdot\left(W_{0}(x)-W_{1}(x)\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now assume that $H_{1}$ has infinitely many eigenvalues in $(\mu, \lambda)$. Then the modified Wronskian $W_{1}$ has infinitely many zeros $x_{0}<x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots$ which necessarily accumulate at $\infty$; cf. [5, Theorem 7.5 (i)]. Then (2.38) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
0<\gamma \leq\left|\widetilde{W}_{0}\left(x_{n}\right)\right| & =\left|\exp \left((c(\lambda)+c(\mu)) \frac{x_{n}-a}{\omega}\right) W_{0}\left(x_{n}\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\exp \left((c(\lambda)+c(\mu)) \frac{x_{n}-a}{\omega}\right)\left(W_{0}\left(x_{n}\right)-W_{1}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction. Hence, $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ran}\left(P_{(\mu, \lambda)}\left(H_{1}\right)\right)<\infty$.
Proof of Theorem 2.4. Suppose that (2.6) (and hence also (2.2) and (2.5)) holds. We show that the boundary points of the essential spectrum of $H_{1}$ are no eigenvalues of $H_{1}$ and, therefore, $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{1}\right)$ is purely absolutely continuous. Let $\lambda \in \partial \sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{1}\right)$ and consider an arbitrary non-trivial linear combination $w_{1}:=\alpha u_{1}+\beta v_{1}$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. For the same coefficients $\alpha, \beta$ let $w_{0}:=\alpha u_{0}+\beta v_{0}$ and observe that by Lemma 2.7 (iii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1}(x)-w_{0}(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { and hence } \quad\left|w_{1}(x)\right|^{2}-\left|w_{0}(x)\right|^{2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

We estimate with (2.8) and the boundedness of $\left|u_{0}\right|$ from Lemma 2.6 (iii) for some $M>0$ and all $t \in[a, \infty)$

$$
\left|w_{0}(t)\right|^{2} \leq\left(|\alpha|\left|u_{0}(t)\right|+|\beta| C\left(1+\frac{t-a}{\omega}\right)\right)^{2} \leq M\left(1+t^{2}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w_{0}(t)\right|^{2}\left|r_{1}(t)-r_{0}(t)\right| \leq M\left(1+t^{2}\right)\left|r_{1}(t)-r_{0}(t)\right| \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left|w_{1}\right|^{2} r_{1}-\left|w_{0}\right|^{2} r_{0}\right| \leq\left|\left|w_{1}\right|^{2}-\left|w_{0}\right|^{2}\right|\left|r_{1}-r_{0}\right|+\left|w_{0}\right|^{2}\left|r_{1}-r_{0}\right|+\left|\left|w_{1}\right|^{2}-\left|w_{0}\right|^{2}\right| r_{0} \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds pointwise a.e. on $(a, \infty)$ and by (2.6) the functions $t \mapsto t^{2}\left|r_{1}(t)-r_{0}(t)\right|$ and $t \mapsto\left|r_{1}(t)-r_{0}(t)\right|$ are in $L^{1}(a, \infty)$. Thus, (2.41) together with (2.39), (2.40), and the periodicity of $r_{0}$ imply the existence of $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_{0}$

$$
\left.\left|\int_{a+n \omega}^{a+(n+1) \omega}\right| w_{1}(t)\right|^{2} r_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t-\int_{a+n \omega}^{a+(n+1) \omega}\left|w_{0}(t)\right|^{2} r_{0}(t) \mathrm{d} t \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{E}{2}\right.,
$$

where the constant $E$ is from (2.9). This gives for all $n \geq n_{0}$

$$
\int_{a+n \omega}^{a+(n+1) \omega}\left|w_{1}(t)\right|^{2} r_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t \geq \frac{E}{2}
$$

Therefore, $w_{1}$ does not belong to $L^{2}\left((a, \infty) ; r_{1}\right)$, which shows that $\lambda \in \partial \sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{1}\right)$ is not an eigenvalue of $H_{1}$.

Proof of Proposition 2.5. Suppose that (2.5) (and hence also (2.2)) holds and that $r_{1}$ satisfies $C_{0} \leq r_{1}(t) \leq C_{1}$ for $t$ in some neighbourhood of $\infty$ for some positive constants $C_{0}, C_{1}$. Let $\lambda$ be a boundary point of $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{1}\right)$, let $u_{1}=u_{1}(\cdot, \lambda)$ be the solution found in Lemma 2.7 (iii), and suppose $v_{1}=v_{1}(\cdot, \lambda)$ were an eigenfunction. Then, by (2.16) and (2.9), $u_{1}$ and $v_{1}$ must be linearly independent and we can rescale $v_{1}$ such that the Wronskian with $u_{1}$ satisfies

$$
1=W\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)=u_{1}\left(p_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\left(p_{1} u_{1}^{\prime}\right) v_{1} .
$$

In particular, we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2} \leq r_{1} u_{1}^{2} \frac{\left(p_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{r_{1}}+r_{1} v_{1}^{2} \frac{\left(p_{1} u_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{r_{1}}
$$

Now since $v_{1}$ is an eigenfunction, we have $r_{1} v_{1}^{2} \rightarrow 0$ (at least for some subsequence). Moreover, by (2.16) and our assumption on $r_{1}$ both $r_{1} u_{1}^{2}$ and $\left(p_{1} u_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2} / r_{1}$ are bounded.

Finally, the assumption $\int_{t-1}^{t+1}\left|q_{1}(s)\right|^{2} d s \leq C_{1}$ together with the other assumptions on $r_{1}$ and $p_{1}$ ensure that the first integral on the right hand side of [12, Eq. (2.21) in Lemma 2.7] is bounded and hence this lemma implies $\left(p_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2} / r_{1} \rightarrow 0$, which gives a contradiction. Thus, there is no square summable solution for $\lambda$.

## 3. Proof of the main results

Proofs of Theorem 1.1-1.3. Our main results follow from a coupling argument and applications of Theorems 2.1, 2.3 and 2.4 and their counterparts on the half-line $(-\infty, a)$. More precisely, choose any self-adjoint realization $A_{0,-}$ and $A_{0,+}$ of $\tau_{0}$ in $L^{2}\left((-\infty, a) ; r_{0}\right)$ and $L^{2}\left((a, \infty) ; r_{0}\right)$, respectively, and observe that the resolvent difference of $A_{0}$ and $A_{0,-} \oplus A_{0,+}$ is an operator of rank one or rank two. In particular, $A_{0}$ and $A_{0,-} \oplus A_{0,+}$ have the same essential spectrum, and the periodicity also implies $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(A_{0,-}\right)=\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(A_{0,+}\right)$.

Let $A_{1,-}$ and $A_{1,+}$ be arbitrary self-adjoint realizations of $\tau_{1}$ in $L^{2}\left((-\infty, a) ; r_{1}\right)$ and $L^{2}\left((a, \infty) ; r_{1}\right)$, respectively. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that $A_{1, \pm}$ are semibounded, $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(A_{0, \pm}\right)=\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(A_{1, \pm}\right)$, and hence $A_{1,-} \oplus A_{1,+}$ is semibounded and

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(A_{0}\right)=\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(A_{0,-} \oplus A_{0,+}\right)=\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(A_{1,-} \oplus A_{1,+}\right)
$$

As also the resolvent difference of $A_{1}$ and $A_{1,-} \oplus A_{1,+}$ is an operator of rank one or rank two we conclude that $A_{1}$ is semibounded and

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(A_{0}\right)=\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(A_{1}\right)
$$

In order to prove Theorem 1.1 it remains to show the statement on the absolutely continuous spectrum of $A_{1}$. Let $\lambda$ be an interior point of $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(A_{1}\right)$ and let $u$ be a nontrivial solution of $\left(\tau_{1}-\lambda\right) u=0$. Step 2 of the proof of Theorem 2.1 shows that the restrictions of $u$ onto $(-\infty, a)$ and $(a, \infty)$ are not sequentially subordinant at $\pm \infty$ and from [6, Theorem 2] we conclude that the spectrum of $A_{1}$ is purely absolutely continuous in the interior of the spectral bands. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. Arguing with the restrictions of $u$ onto $(-\infty, a)$ and $(a, \infty)$ in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 2.4 we also conclude that the band edges are no eigenvalues of $A_{1}$ under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3. To conclude Theorem 1.2 note that by Theorem 2.3 each gap contains at most finitely many eigenvalues of $A_{1,-} \oplus A_{1,+}$. As the resolvent difference of $A_{1}$ and $A_{1,-} \oplus A_{1,+}$ is at most of rank two the number of eigenvalues of $A_{1}$ in each gap can increase by at most two, which shows Theorem 1.2.
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