

Motivation:  $A = A^*$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $V \subset V^*$

Question: Is  $A+V$  self adjoint? In general: No

Can we find assumptions for  $A$  and  $V$  s.t.  $A+V$  is self adjoint and what can one say about  $\sigma(A+V)$

## 5 Perturbation theory for self adjoint operators

Throughout this section  $\mathcal{H}$  is a complex Hilbert space with inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and induced norm  $\|\cdot\|$ .

### 5.1 Relatively bounded perturbations

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $A$  and  $V$  be linear operators in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $V$  is called  $A$ -bounded (or relatively bounded with respect to  $A$ ), if  $\text{dom } A \subset \text{dom } V$  and if there exist  $a, b \geq 0$  such that

$$\|Vx\| \leq a\|x\| + b\|Ax\|$$

holds for all  $x \in \text{dom } A$ . The infimum over all  $b$ , such that there exists an  $a$  so that the above inequality holds, is called  $A$ -bound of  $V$ .

**Remark:**

- If  $V \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $V$  is  $A$  bounded with  $A$ -bound zero.
- If  $V$  is a bounded with  $A$ -bound  $b$ , then there exists for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  a number  $a_\varepsilon \geq 0$  such that

$$\|Vx\| \leq a_\varepsilon\|x\| + (b + \varepsilon)\|Ax\|$$

holds for all  $x \in \text{dom } A$ . For  $\varepsilon = 0$  this does not have to be the case!

- $V$  is  $A$ -bounded if and only if  $\text{dom } A \subset \text{dom } V$  and there exist  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  such that

$$\|Vx\|^2 \leq \alpha\|x\|^2 + \beta\|Ax\|^2$$

holds for all  $x \in \text{dom } A$ . The infimum over all  $\sqrt{\beta}$ , such that there exists an  $\alpha$  so that the above inequality holds, coincides with the  $A$ -bound of  $V$  (see exercises).

**Proposition 5.2.** Let  $A = A^*$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  and let  $V$  be a linear operator in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\text{dom } A \subset \text{dom } V$ . Set

$$c_\pm := \limsup_{\eta \rightarrow \pm\infty} \|V(A - i\eta)^{-1}\|$$

with  $c_\pm = \infty$ , if  $V(A - i\eta)^{-1}$  is unbounded. Then

$$\underline{V \text{ is } A\text{-bounded}} \iff c_+ < \infty \iff c_- < \infty.$$

In this case one has  $c_+ = c_-$  is the  $A$ -bound of  $V$  and the limit superior is a limit.

Remark: If  $A$  is an operator in  $\mathcal{H}$ , then the graph norm of  $A$  is:  $\|x\|_A := \|x\| + \|Ax\|$ . Then  $V$  is  $A$ -bounded iff.  $\text{dom } A \subset \text{dom } V$  and  $V \upharpoonright \text{dom } A: (\text{dom } A, \|\cdot\|_A) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is bounded.

## Proof of Prop 5.2

Step 1: " $V$   $A$ -bounded  $\Rightarrow c_{\pm} < \infty$ "

Assume that  $V$  is  $A$ -bounded, i.e.  $\exists a, b \geq 0$  s.t.

$$(*) \quad \|Vx\|^2 \leq a^2 \|x\|^2 + \underline{b^2} \|Ax\|^2 \quad \forall x \in \text{dom } A.$$

Then one has for any  $\alpha > 0$  and  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|V(A \pm i\alpha)^{-1}x\|^2 &\leq a^2 \|(A \pm i\alpha)^{-1}x\|^2 + b^2 \|A(A \pm i\alpha)^{-1}x\|^2 \\ &= b^2 \left[ \frac{a^2}{b^2} \|(A \pm i\alpha)^{-1}x\|^2 + \|A(A \pm i\alpha)^{-1}x\|^2 \right] \\ &= b^2 \|(A \pm i\frac{a}{b})(A \pm i\alpha)^{-1}x\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Write  $\|\cdot\|^2 = (\cdot, \cdot)$  and use linearity of scalar product and  $A = A^*$

$$\text{Choose } \alpha = \frac{a}{b} \Rightarrow \|V(A \pm i\frac{a}{b})^{-1}x\| \leq b \|x\|$$

$$\Rightarrow V(A \pm i\frac{a}{b})^{-1} \text{ is bounded, } \|V(A \pm i\frac{a}{b})^{-1}\| \leq b$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{c_{\pm}} = \limsup_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \|V(A \pm i\frac{a}{b})^{-1}\| \leq \underline{b}$$

Considering the infimum over all  $b$  s.t.  $(*)$  holds, we find that  $c_{\pm} \leq A$ -bound of  $V$   $(**)$

## Step 2:

Note first: if  $b, \alpha > 0$  with  $\|V(A \pm i\alpha)^{-1}\| \leq b$ , then

$$(\triangleright) \|Vx\| = \|V(A - i\alpha)^{-1}(A - i\alpha)x\| \leq b \|(A - i\alpha)x\| \leq b\alpha \|x\| + b \|Ax\|$$

for all  $x \in \text{dom } A$ .

So assume  $\underline{c_{\pm}} < \infty$ . Then for all  $b > c_{\pm}$  one has

$$\|V(A - i\alpha)^{-1}\| \leq b \quad \text{for all sufficiently large } \alpha.$$

Then by  $(\triangleright)$   $V$  is  $A$ -bounded with  $A$ -bound  $\leq b$ .

37

$$\Rightarrow A\text{-bound of } V \leq c_{\pm}$$

On the other hand, by  $(**)$   $c_{\pm} \leq A$ -bound of  $V$

$$\Rightarrow c_{\pm} = A\text{-bound of } V$$

Next, we show that the  $\limsup$  in the def. of  $c_+$  is a limit. For this, choose  $b > \liminf_{y \rightarrow \infty} \|V(A-iy)^{-1}\|$

$$\Rightarrow \exists \alpha > 0 : \|V(A-iy)^{-1}\| \leq b$$

$\Rightarrow$   $V$  is  $A$ -bounded with  $A$ -bound  $\leq b$

$$\Rightarrow C_+ = A\text{-bound of } V \leq b$$

$$\Rightarrow C_+ \leq \liminf_{y \rightarrow \infty} \|V(A-iy)^{-1}\| \leq \limsup_{y \rightarrow \infty} \|V(A+iy)^{-1}\| = C_+$$

$$\Rightarrow C_+ = \liminf_{y \rightarrow \infty} \|V(A-iy)^{-1}\|$$

$\Rightarrow \|V(A-iy)^{-1}\|$  is converging for  $y \rightarrow \infty$

With similar arguments one gets the statements for  $c_-$  □

**Lemma 5.3.** Let  $A = A^*$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  and let  $V$  be a linear operator in  $\mathcal{H}$  with  $\text{dom } A \subset \text{dom } V$  such that  $A + V$  is closed. If  $\|V(A - \lambda)^{-1}\| < 1$  for some  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ , then  $\lambda \in \rho(A + V)$ .

Proof:

We proof that  $A + V - \lambda$  is bijective.

surjectivity: Define

$$B_k := \sum_{n=0}^k (-1)^n \underbrace{(A - \lambda)^{-1}} \underbrace{(V(A - \lambda)^{-1})^n} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$$

For  $l > k$

$$\|B_l - B_k\| = \left\| \sum_{n=k+1}^l (-1)^n (A - \lambda)^{-1} (V(A - \lambda)^{-1})^n \right\|$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=k+1}^l \left\| (-1)^n (A - \lambda)^{-1} (V(A - \lambda)^{-1})^n \right\|$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=k+1}^l \| (A - \lambda)^{-1} \| \cdot \| V(A - \lambda)^{-1} \|^n$$

$$= \| (A - \lambda)^{-1} \| \sum_{n=0}^{l-(k+1)} \| V(A - \lambda)^{-1} \|^{\underbrace{n+k+1}_{\text{circled}}}$$

$$\leq \| (A - \lambda)^{-1} \| \cdot \| V(A - \lambda)^{-1} \|^{k+1} \underbrace{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \| V(A - \lambda)^{-1} \|^n}_{< 1}$$

$$\leq \underbrace{C \| (A - \lambda)^{-1} \| \cdot \| V(A - \lambda)^{-1} \|^{k+1}}_{< 1} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad C$$

$\Rightarrow (B_k)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$

$\Rightarrow B_k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} B$

We show  $(A + V - \lambda)B = I$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \underline{(A+V-\lambda) B_k} &= \sum_{n=0}^k (A+V-\lambda) \quad (-1)^n (A-\lambda)^{-1} (V(A-\lambda)^{-1})^n \\
 &= \underline{(A-\lambda)} + \underline{V} \underbrace{(-1)^{k+1}}_{-(-1)^{k+1}} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^k \underbrace{(-1)^n (V(A-\lambda)^{-1})^n}_{\substack{\text{---} \\ \sum_{n=1}^{k+1} (-1)^n (V(A-\lambda)^{-1})^n}} + \sum_{n=0}^k \underbrace{(-1)^n (V(A-\lambda)^{-1})^n}_{\substack{\text{---} \\ \sum_{n=1}^{k+1} (-1)^n (V(A-\lambda)^{-1})^n}} \\
 &= I - (-1)^{k+1} (V(A-\lambda)^{-1})^{k+1} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} I \text{ in } \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})
 \end{aligned}$$

This means, for any fixed  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ :

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 (B_k x) &\in \text{dom}(A+V-\lambda) \\
 B_k x &\rightarrow Bx, \quad k \rightarrow \infty \\
 (A+V-\lambda) B_k x &\rightarrow x, \quad k \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \underline{(A+V-\lambda) Bx = x}$$

[since  $A+V$  is closed by assumption]

$$\Rightarrow x \in \text{ran}(A+V-\lambda) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$$

$$\Rightarrow A+V-\lambda \text{ is surjective } \checkmark$$

•  $A+V-\lambda$  is injective. Let  $0 \neq x \in \text{dom } A$  so,  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|(A+V-\lambda)x\| &\geq \|(A-\lambda)x\| - \|Vx\| \geq \underbrace{(1 - \|V(A-\lambda)^{-1}\|)}_{\substack{\text{so, } \|V(A-\lambda)^{-1}\| < 1}} \| (A-\lambda)x \| > 0 \\
 \|V(A-\lambda)^{-1}(A-\lambda)x\| &\leq \| (A-\lambda)x \| \cdot \|V(A-\lambda)^{-1}\|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow A+V-\lambda \text{ is injective}$$

$$\Rightarrow A+V-\lambda \text{ is bijective} \Rightarrow \lambda \in \rho(A+V) \quad \square$$

**Theorem 5.4** (Kato-Rellich). Let  $A$  be a linear operator in  $\mathcal{H}$  and let  $V$  be a symmetric operator in  $\mathcal{H}$  that is  $A$ -bounded with  $A$ -bound less than one. Then, the following is true:

- (i) If  $A = A^*$ , then  $(A+V)^* = A+V$ , i.e.  $A+V$  is self adjoint.
- (ii) If  $\bar{A} = A^*$ , then  $(A+V)^* = \overline{A+V}$ , i.e.  $A+V$  is essentially self adjoint.

Proof:

(i) Since  $V$  is  $A$ -bounded with  $A$ -bound  $< 1$ , there exist  $a \geq 0$  and  $b \in (0,1)$  s.t.

$$\|Vx\| \leq a\|x\| + b\|Ax\| \quad \forall x \in \text{dom } A.$$

We show first that  $A+V$  is closed. For that,

recall that  $A+V$  is closed if and only if  $(\underbrace{\text{dom } A}_{\text{dom}(A+V)}, \|\cdot\|_{A+V})$  is complete. For that we show

that  $\|\cdot\|_{A+V}$  is equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_A$ . For  $x \in \text{dom } A$

$$\|Ax\| \leq \|(A+V)x\| + \|Vx\| \leq \|(A+V)x\| + a\|x\| + b\|Ax\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{(1-b)}_{0 < } \|Ax\| \leq \|(A+V)x\| + a\|x\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x\|_A \leq c_1 \|x\|_{A+V} \quad (1)$$

$$\|(A+V)x\| \leq \|Ax\| + \|Vx\| \leq \|Ax\| + a\|x\| + b\|Ax\| \leq c_2 \|x\|_A$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x\|_{A+V} \leq c_3 \|x\|_A \stackrel{(1)}{\Rightarrow} \|\cdot\|_A \text{ and } \|\cdot\|_{A+V} \text{ are equivalent.}$$

$\Rightarrow A+V$  is closed  $\checkmark$

Clearly,  $A+V$  is symmetric, as for  $x \in \text{dom } A \subset \text{dom } V$ :

$$((A+V)x, x) = \underbrace{(Ax, x)}_{\in \mathbb{R}} + \underbrace{(Vx, x)}_{\in \mathbb{R}} \in \mathbb{R} \quad \checkmark$$

In order to show that  $A+V$  is self adjoint, we use Theorem 3.10 and show that there are  $t_{\pm} \in \mathbb{C}_{\pm} \cap \rho(A)$ .

Indeed, by Prop. 5.2. there exist  $\gamma > 0$  s.t.

$$\|V(A \mp i\gamma)^{-1}\| \leq b < 1. \quad \text{Then by Lemma 5.3 } \pm i\gamma \in \rho(A+V)$$

$\Rightarrow A+V$  is self adjoint  $\checkmark$

(ii)  $V$  is symmetric by assumption. We show that  $\bar{V}$  is  $\bar{A}$ -bounded with the same bound (i.e. the  $\bar{A}$ -bound of  $V$ )

Let  $x \in \text{dom } \bar{A}$ . Then  $\exists (x_n) \subset \text{dom } A$ , s.t.  $x_n \rightarrow x$ ,  $Ax_n \rightarrow \bar{A}x$ .

$$\Rightarrow \|V(x_n - x_m)\| \leq a \|x_n - x_m\| + b \|A(x_n - x_m)\| \xrightarrow{n, m \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad (\square)$$

$\Rightarrow (Vx_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence  $\Rightarrow x \in \text{dom } \bar{V}$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{dom } \bar{A} \subset \text{dom } \bar{V}$ . As above in  $(\square)$  one gets

$$\|\bar{V}x\| \leq a \|x\| + b \|\bar{A}x\|$$

$\Rightarrow \bar{V}$  is  $\bar{A}$ -bounded with  $\bar{A}$ -bound  $< 1$

By (i) we get that  $\bar{A} + \bar{V}$  is self adjoint.

It remains to show that  $\overline{\bar{A} + \bar{V}} = \overline{A+V}$ .

$$\overline{A+V} \subset \bar{A} + \bar{V} \quad \text{clear } \checkmark$$

Conversely, for  $x \in \text{dom } (\bar{A} + \bar{V}) = \text{dom } \bar{A}$ , there exists a sequence  $(x_n) \subset \text{dom } A$  s.t.  $(A+V)x_n \rightarrow (\bar{A} + \bar{V})x$  (consequence of  $(\square)$ )  $\Rightarrow x \in \text{dom } (\overline{A+V})$  and  $(A+V)x_n \rightarrow (\overline{A+V})x$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{dom } (\bar{A} + \bar{V}) \subset \text{dom } (\overline{A+V})$  and  $(\bar{A} + \bar{V})x = (\overline{A+V})x \quad \forall x \in \text{dom } \bar{A}$

$$\rightarrow \overline{A+V} \subset \overline{(A+V)} \Rightarrow \overline{A+V} = \overline{A+V}$$



**Theorem 5.5** (Wüst). Let  $\overline{A} = A^*$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  and let  $V$  be a symmetric operator in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\text{dom } A \subset \text{dom } V$ . If there exists an  $a \geq 0$  such that  $\|Vx\| \leq a\|x\| + \|Ax\|$  holds for all  $x \in \text{dom } A$ , then  $A + V$  is essentially self adjoint.

**Caution:** The condition in Wüst's theorem is not equivalent to

" $V$  is  $A$ -bounded with  $A$ -bound 1."

Under the last condition, the statement of the theorem is not true in general!

**Definition 5.6.** A self adjoint operator  $A$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  is called *semibounded from below*, if there exists a  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(Ax, x) \geq \gamma\|x\|^2$$

holds for all  $x \in \text{dom } A$ . Each such  $\gamma$  is called *lower bound of  $A$*  and we write  $A \geq \gamma$  in this case.

**Lemma 5.7.** Let  $A$  be a self adjoint operator in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $A \geq \gamma$  if and only if  $\sigma(A) \subset [\gamma, \infty)$ .