

# An $L^2$ model for selfadjoint elliptic differential operators with constant coefficients on bounded domains

Jussi Behrndt and Jonathan Rohleder\*

Institut für Mathematik, MA 6-4, Technische Universität Berlin, Straße des 17. Juni 136, 10623 Berlin

The selfadjoint realization of a second order elliptic differential expression with Dirichlet boundary conditions is shown to be unitarily equivalent to the maximal multiplication operator with the independent variable in an explicit  $L^2$  model space.

Copyright line will be provided by the publisher

## 1 Introduction

It is well known that every selfadjoint operator in a Hilbert space is unitarily equivalent to a multiplication operator in an abstract  $L^2$  space. For the case of a selfadjoint Sturm–Liouville differential operator on  $(0, \infty)$ , where, e.g.,  $\infty$  is in the limit point case and 0 is a regular endpoint, the integral representation of the classical Titchmarsh–Weyl  $m$ -function gives rise to a multiplication operator model in a more explicit  $L^2$  space; cf. [4, 10, 13, 14]. The main objective of the present note is to construct an  $L^2$  model space in a similar way for the Dirichlet realization  $A$  of a second order elliptic differential expression with constant coefficients on a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n > 1$ . It will be shown that the maximal multiplication operator in this model space is unitarily equivalent to  $A$ .  $L^2$  models for other selfadjoint realizations can be constructed analogously.

## 2 An $L^2$ model for a selfadjoint elliptic operator with Dirichlet boundary conditions

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n > 1$ , be a bounded domain with a smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$  and denote by  $H^s(\Omega)$  and  $H^s(\partial\Omega)$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , the Sobolev spaces of order  $s$  on  $\Omega$  and  $\partial\Omega$ , respectively. The trace of  $u \in H^s(\Omega)$ ,  $s > 1/2$ , on  $\partial\Omega$  is denoted by  $u|_{\partial\Omega}$  and belongs to the space  $H^{s-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ . The inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $L^2(\partial\Omega)$  can be extended by continuity to  $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega) \times H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ . Let  $\iota_{\pm}$  be isomorphisms from  $H^{\pm 1/2}(\partial\Omega)$  onto  $L^2(\partial\Omega)$  with  $(x, y)_{1/2 \times -1/2} = (\iota_+ x, \iota_- y)$  for all  $x \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$  and  $y \in H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ . If  $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}$  are Hilbert spaces, the space of bounded linear operators from  $\mathcal{H}$  into  $\mathcal{K}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ , or  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  if  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K}$ .

Let  $a_{jk} \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $j, k = 1, \dots, n$ , suppose that the  $n \times n$ -matrix  $(a_{jk})_{j,k=1}^n$  is positive and let  $c > 0$ . In the following we consider the elliptic differential expression  $\Lambda = -\sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{jk} \partial_j \partial_k + c$ . It is well known that the operator

$$Au = \Lambda u = -\sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{jk} \partial_j \partial_k u + cu, \quad \text{dom } A = \{u \in H^2(\Omega) : u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}, \quad (1)$$

is a positive selfadjoint operator in  $L^2(\Omega)$  with compact resolvent, see, e.g., [6]. Besides the selfadjoint operator  $A$  we shall make use of the so-called minimal operator  $A_{\min} u = \Lambda u$ ,  $\text{dom } A_{\min} = \{u \in H^2(\Omega) : u|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial_{\nu}^{\Lambda} u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}$ , where  $\partial_{\nu}^{\Lambda} u|_{\partial\Omega}$  denotes the conormal derivative of  $u$ ,  $\partial_{\nu}^{\Lambda} u = \sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{jk} \nu_j \partial_k u$  and  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$  is the normal vector pointing outwards. Clearly, the minimal operator is a restriction of  $A$  and hence symmetric. Furthermore,  $\text{dom } A_{\min}$  is dense in  $L^2(\Omega)$  and  $A_{\min}$  is a closed operator with infinite deficiency indices. The adjoint  $A_{\min}^*$  is the maximal operator  $A_{\max}$  associated to  $\Lambda$  which is defined on  $\text{dom } A_{\max} = \{u \in L^2(\Omega) : \Lambda u \in L^2(\Omega)\}$ . According to [9, Theorem 2.1] the trace map  $u \mapsto u|_{\partial\Omega}$ ,  $u \in H^s(\Omega)$ ,  $s > 1/2$ , can be extended by continuity to a surjective mapping from  $\text{dom } A_{\max}$  onto  $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ , where  $\text{dom } A_{\max}$  is equipped with the graph norm. As  $A$  is positive and  $\text{dom } A_{\max} = \text{dom } A^{\dagger} \ker A_{\max}$  holds, it follows that for  $y \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$  there is a unique function  $u_0(y) \in \ker A_{\max}$  such that  $y = \iota_- u_0(y)|_{\partial\Omega}$ .

**Theorem 2.1** For  $\lambda$  from the resolvent set  $\rho(A)$  of  $A$  and  $y \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$  we define

$$M(\lambda)y := -\lambda \iota_+ (\partial_{\nu}^{\Lambda} (A - \lambda)^{-1} u_0(y))|_{\partial\Omega}.$$

Then  $M(\lambda)$  is a bounded operator in  $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ , and the function  $M : \rho(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L^2(\partial\Omega))$ ,  $\lambda \mapsto M(\lambda)$  is an operator-valued Nevanlinna function, which admits an integral representation

$$M(\lambda) = \alpha + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{1}{t - \lambda} - \frac{t}{1 + t^2} \right) d\Sigma(t), \quad (2)$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\partial\Omega))$  is a selfadjoint operator and  $\Sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L^2(\partial\Omega))$  is a nondecreasing operator function which satisfies  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + t^2)^{-1} d\Sigma(t) \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\partial\Omega))$ .

The proof of Theorem 2.1 will be published elsewhere. It makes use of the notion of boundary triplets and Weyl functions

\* Corresponding author: e-mail: rohleder@math.tu-berlin.de

associated to symmetric operators from [5, 7], see also [1, 3, 8] for the elliptic case.

Let  $\Sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L^2(\partial\Omega))$  be the nondecreasing operator function from the integral representation (2). The space  $L^2_\Sigma(L^2(\partial\Omega))$  is defined as in [2, 7, 12]. Very roughly speaking it consists of  $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ -valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  which are square-integrable with respect to the measure  $d\Sigma$ . The next theorem is the main result in this note.

**Theorem 2.2** *The Dirichlet operator  $A$  in (1) is unitarily equivalent to the maximal multiplication operator with the independent variable in  $L^2_\Sigma(L^2(\partial\Omega))$ .*

**Proof.** The proof of Theorem 2.2 consists of two steps. In the first step it will be shown that the span of the defect spaces of the minimal operator  $A_{\min}$  is dense in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . In the second step a unitary operator  $U : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2_\Sigma(L^2(\partial\Omega))$  will be constructed, which fulfills  $A = U^*A_\Sigma U$ , where  $A_\Sigma$  is the maximal multiplication operator with the independent variable in the model space  $L^2_\Sigma(L^2(\partial\Omega))$ .

**Step 1.** We claim that  $A_{\min}$  has no eigenvalues. In fact, assume that  $u \in \text{dom } A_{\min}$  is a solution of  $A_{\min}u = \lambda u$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and define the function  $\tilde{u}$  to be the extension of  $u$  by 0 on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ . Then  $u|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial_\nu^\Lambda u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$  and the equivalence of the graph norm induced by  $A_{\min}$  to the  $H^2$  norm imply  $\tilde{u} \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . It follows that  $\tilde{u}$  satisfies the equation  $\Lambda\tilde{u} = \lambda\tilde{u}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Hence  $\tilde{u}$  is an eigenfunction of the selfadjoint operator  $\tilde{A}$  associated to  $\Lambda$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  defined on  $\text{dom } \tilde{A} = H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . But  $\tilde{A}$  has no eigenvalues (this can be seen, for example, with the help of the Fourier transform), and therefore  $\tilde{u} = 0$ . This implies  $u = 0$  and hence  $A_{\min}$  has no eigenvalues.

Since the spectrum of the selfadjoint operator  $A$  in (1) consists only of eigenvalues it follows that  $A_{\min}$  does not contain a nontrivial selfadjoint part, i.e., there is no nontrivial subspace  $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2(\Omega)$  which is invariant for the operator  $A_{\min}$  such that the restriction  $A_{\min} \upharpoonright (\text{dom } A_{\min} \cap \mathcal{H})$  is selfadjoint in  $\mathcal{H}$ . It is well known (see, e.g., [11]) that this is equivalent to

$$L^2(\Omega) = \overline{\text{span}}\{\ker(A_{\min}^* - \lambda) : \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}\} = \overline{\text{span}}\{\ker(A_{\max} - \lambda) : \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}\}. \quad (3)$$

**Step 2.** Let  $A_\Sigma$  be the maximal multiplication operator with the independent variable in  $L^2_\Sigma(L^2(\partial\Omega))$  and denote the restriction of  $A_\Sigma$  onto the dense subspace  $\{f \in \text{dom } A_\Sigma : \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\Sigma = 0\}$  by  $S_\Sigma$ . For further details and the precise definition of  $\text{dom } S_\Sigma$  we refer to [12, §7]. For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  we define  $\gamma(\lambda) \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\partial\Omega), L^2(\Omega))$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\partial\Omega), L^2_\Sigma(L^2(\partial\Omega)))$  by

$$\gamma(\lambda)y = (I + \lambda(A - \lambda)^{-1})u_0(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\gamma}(\lambda)y = (i - \lambda)^{-1}y, \quad y \in L^2(\partial\Omega),$$

where  $u_0(y)$  is the unique function in  $\ker A_{\max}$  such that  $\iota_- u_0(y)|_{\partial\Omega} = y$ . Then we have  $\text{ran } \gamma(\lambda) = \ker(A_{\max} - \lambda)$  and  $\text{ran } \tilde{\gamma}(\lambda) = \ker(S_\Sigma^* - \lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, the equation

$$\gamma(\mu)^*\gamma(\lambda) = \frac{M(\lambda) - M(\mu)^*}{\lambda - \bar{\mu}} = \tilde{\gamma}(\mu)^*\tilde{\gamma}(\lambda), \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}, \quad (4)$$

holds, and  $\gamma(\lambda) = (I + (\lambda - i)(A - \lambda)^{-1})\gamma(i)$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}(\lambda) = (I + (\lambda - i)(A_\Sigma - \lambda)^{-1})\tilde{\gamma}(i)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ . It follows from (3) and (4) that

$$V \left( \sum_{j=0}^l \gamma(\lambda_j)y_j \right) = \sum_{j=0}^l \tilde{\gamma}(\lambda_j)y_j, \quad \text{dom } V = \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^l \gamma(\lambda_j)y_j : \lambda_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}, y_j \in L^2(\partial\Omega), j = 0, \dots, l, l \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

is a well-defined isometric operator with dense domain in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . As a consequence of [12, Proposition 7.9 (i)]  $\text{ran } V$  is dense in  $L^2_\Sigma(L^2(\partial\Omega))$  and hence  $V$  admits a unique unitary extension  $U : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2_\Sigma(L^2(\partial\Omega))$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  the equation  $U\gamma(\lambda) = \tilde{\gamma}(\lambda)$  holds by definition of  $U$  and for  $\lambda \neq i$  we obtain

$$U(A - \lambda)^{-1}\gamma(i) = U \frac{1}{\lambda - i} (\gamma(\lambda) - \gamma(i)) = \frac{1}{\lambda - i} (\tilde{\gamma}(\lambda) - \tilde{\gamma}(i)) = (A_\Sigma - \lambda)^{-1}\tilde{\gamma}(i) = (A_\Sigma - \lambda)^{-1}U\gamma(i).$$

This implies  $A_\Sigma Uu = UAu$  for all  $u \in \text{dom } A$ , that is,  $A$  and  $A_\Sigma$  are unitarily equivalent.  $\square$

## References

- [1] J. Behrndt and M. Langer, *J. Funct. Anal.* **243** (2007), 536–565.
- [2] J. M. Berezanskii, *AMS Translations of Mathematical Monographs* Vol. **17**, Providence, R.I., 1968.
- [3] B. M. Brown, G. Grubb, and I. G. Wood, *Math. Nachr.* **282** (2009), 314–347.
- [4] E. A. Coddington and N. Levinson, *McGraw-Hill*, New York, 1955.
- [5] V. A. Derkach and M. M. Malamud, *J. Funct. Anal.* **95** (1991), 1–95.
- [6] D. E. Edmunds and W. D. Evans, *Oxford University Press*, New York, 1987.
- [7] V. I. Gorbachuk and M. L. Gorbachuk, *Mathematics and its Applications* **48**, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [8] G. Grubb, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa* **22** (1968), 425–513.
- [9] G. Grubb, *Israel J. Math.* **10** (1971), 32–95.
- [10] E. Hille, *Addison-Wesley*, Reading, MA, 1969.
- [11] M. G. Krein, *Ukrain. Mat. Journal* **1** (1949), 3–66.
- [12] M. M. Malamud and S. M. Malamud, *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **15** (2004), 323–373.
- [13] E. C. Titchmarsh, *Clarendon Press*, Oxford 1962.
- [14] H. Weyl, *Math. Ann.* **68** (1910), 220–269.